

TONI PRECKWINKLE

PRESIDENT

Cook County Board

of Commissioners

RICHARD R. BOYKIN

1st District

ROBERT B. STEELE 2nd District

> JERRY BUTLER 3rd District

STANLEY MOORE 4th District

DEBORAH SIMS 5th District

JOAN PATRICIA MURPHY 6th District

> JESUS G. GARCIA 7th District

LUIS ARROYO, JR 8th District

PETER N. SILVESTRI 9th District

BRIDGET GAINER 10th District

JOHN P. DALEY

JOHN A. FRITCHEY 12th District

LARRY SUFFREDIN
13th District

GREGG GOSLIN 14th District

TIMOTHY O. SCHNEIDER

15th District

JEFFREY R. TOBOLSKI 16th District

ELIZABETH ANN DOODY GORMAN 17th District DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

SUSAN M. CAMPBELL

DIRECTOR

69 W. Washington St., Suite 2900 ● Chicago, Illinois 60602 ● (312) 603-1033

May 13, 2015

Ray Willis, Director
Office of Community Planning and Development (CPD)
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)
77 West Jackson Boulevard
24th Floor
Chicago, IL 60602

RE: Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR)
Submission of: Substantial Amendment to the Action Plan

Dear Mr. Willis:

The Cook County Department of Planning and Development within the Bureau of Economic Development respectfully submits the attached Substantial Amendment to the Action Plan specific to the County's third allocation of Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR) funds.

An electronic version of this submission will also be transmitted shortly.

Please direct any related questions, concerns, or requests for additional information to Jane Hornstein, Deputy Director of Housing at 312-603-1009 or jane.hornstein@cookcountyil.gov or Dominic Tocci, Deputy Director of Community Development at 312-603-1048 or dominic.tocci@cookcountyil.gov.

Thank you for your ongoing assistance and advisement.

Sincerely.

Suran M. Campbell, Director

cc: (letter and electronic submission only)

Kimberly Danna, Program Manager Lakesha Brown, CPD Representative Michael Jasso, Director Dominic Tocci, Deputy Director Jane Hornstein, Deputy Director

County of Cook, Illinois



Substantial Amendment to the Action Plan

CDBG Disaster Recovery Grant (CDBG-DR)

Toni Preckwinkle, President Cook County Board of Commissioners

Prepared by: The Department of Planning and Development of the Bureau of Economic Development

May 13, 2015

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Overview

This document serves as a Substantial Amendment to the Action Plan ("Substantial Amendment") that was previously published in March and amended in September of 2014 for the purpose of receiving Community Development Block Grant - Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR) Grants in the amount of \$13,900,000 and \$54,900,000 respectively. As the amount of Cook County CDBG-DR funding has now increased by \$14,816,000 to a total of \$83,616,000, this Substantial Amendment is HUD-required. The Substantial Amendment has been developed in accordance with the Disaster Relief Appropriations Act, 2013 (Public Law 113-2). These grants are being made available through the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) to assist disaster recovery efforts in response to declared major disasters occurring in Cook County in 2013. These funds may be used only for specific disaster recovery-related purposes. Relevant HUD guidance for this funding was published at https://www.hudexchange.info/cdbg-dr/cdbg-dr-laws-regulations-and-federal-register-notices/ under the heading: Hurricane Sandy and Other Disasters Occurring in 2011-2013.

This Substantial Amendment must be submitted to HUD within 120 days of the effective date of the Federal Register notice published on January 8, 2015 as Docket No. FR – 5696-N-13 (The Notice of Third Installment) with an effective date of January 13, 2015. As such, it is due to HUD by May 13, 2015.

In accordance with HUD requirements, Cook County will use this Substantial Amendment to guide the distribution of the CDBG-DR grant funds toward fulfilling the three core aspects of recovery - housing, infrastructure and economic development - related to the declared disaster. This Substantial Amendment has also been designed to be consistent with the HUD primary objective of providing funds for local projects with activities that meet one of the following national objectives of the Housing and Community Development (HCD) Act of 1974, as amended: benefit to low/moderate-income persons; prevention or elimination of slums or blight; urgent need. At least fifty percent (50%) of the CDBG-DR grant award must be used for activities that benefit low- and moderate-income persons.

Administering Agency

Cook County is governed by the County Board President and the 17-member Board of Commissioners, who are elected to a four-year term. The President and Board approve all HUD-funded programs. The Board has designated the Department of Planning and Development (DPD) within the Bureau of Economic Development (BED) to serve as the lead agency for administration of HUD-funded programs on behalf of suburban Cook County.

The mission of the Bureau is to: "foster economic development and job growth within Cook County to promote sustainable community investment, business growth, attraction, and retention, affordable housing, regional planning, and workforce development". In addition to the Department of Planning and Development, the Bureau includes the following departments: Building and Zoning and Zoning Board of Appeals. The Bureau is also affiliated with the Chicago Cook Workforce Partnership and the Cook County Land Bank Authority (CCLBA).

The mission of the Department of Planning and Development is to develop sustainable and vibrant urban communities by: "fostering economic opportunities and business development, preserving and expanding the supply of decent, affordable housing, promoting fair housing, and supporting programs that address the problems of homelessness."

Proposed Activities

This Substantial Amendment identifies the proposed uses of the allocation and how they might address long-term recovery needs. The regulations require that this Substantial Amendment include a single chart or table that illustrates, at the most practical level, how all funds programmed by this Substantial Amendment are budgeted. The following table fulfills this requirement:

CATEGORY	TOTAL Allocation	March 2014 Allocation	September 2014 Allocation	May 2015 Allocation
Strategic Acquisition	\$15,000,000	\$4,500,000	\$10,500,000	\$0
Rehabilitation/ Remediation	\$12,000,000	\$2,205,000	\$4,395,000	\$5,400,000
Infrastructure	\$28,936,000	\$2,000,000	\$22,260,000	\$4,676,000
Replacement Housing	\$15,000,000	\$2,500,000	\$10,500,000	\$2,000,000
Tenant Based Rental Program	\$1,500,000	\$1,000,000	\$500,000	\$0
Economic Development	\$2,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$2,000,000
Planning	\$5,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$4,000,000	\$0
Administration	\$4,180,000	\$695,000	\$2,745,000	\$740,000
TOTAL	\$83,616,000	\$13,900,000	\$54,900,000	\$14,816,000

The activities in the above table that will be made available to individual persons and households are the Strategic Acquisition Program, Rehabilitation/Remediation, and the Tenant-Based Rental Assistance Program. Related materials will be made available in English and Spanish as applicable.

Funding Obligation Strategy

Through the March and September 2014 Action Plans, Cook County requested an initial obligation of \$13,760,000. At the present time, Cook County is not requesting to obligate additional funds. However, as the program proceeds, Cook County will coordinate with HUD in the appropriate manner to request additional funding obligation. Note that the below table has been updated to reflect the current obligation amounts.

CATEGORY	TOTAL Obligation	March 2014 Obligation	September 2014 Obligation	May 2015 Obligation
Strategic Acquisition Program	\$3,000,000	\$0	\$3,000,000	\$0
Rehabilitation/ Remediation	\$1,320,000	\$100,000	\$1,220,000	\$0
Infrastructure	\$4,852,000	\$500,000	\$4,352,000	\$0
Replacement Housing	\$2,600,000	\$2,000,000	\$600,000	\$0
Tenant Based Rental Program	\$240,000	\$0	\$240,000	\$0
Planning	\$1,060,000	\$130,000	\$930,000	\$0
Administration	\$688,000	\$270,000	\$418,000	\$0
TOTAL	\$13,760,000	\$3,000,000	\$10,760,000	\$0

All funds must be expended within two years of obligation. Cook County will be requesting further obligation of funds via additional substantial amendment(s) or other HUD authorized protocols as its needs assessment continues and the disaster recovery efforts progress. The final substantial

amendment must be submitted to HUD by June 1, 2017 and all funds must be expended by September 29, 2019 or within two years of HUD's obligation of the final remaining funds, whichever is earlier.

Preliminary Needs Assessment

In order to gain a fuller understanding of the scope and extent of related needs, Cook County DPD consulted with and reviewed research developed by other County departments and local/regional/state/federal agencies working in the disaster management/mitigation arena including the Cook County Departments of Homeland Security and Emergency Management, Environmental Control, Transportation and Highways, the Cook County Forest Preserve District, the Illinois and Federal Emergency Management Agencies (IEMA and FEMA, respectively), the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District (MWRD), the Center for Neighborhood Technology (CNT), the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, and municipal and township leaders. The latter municipal consultations included surveys completed by municipal officials and discussions of geographic areas most affected by the 2013 flood disaster and identification of key related projects. These discussions are ongoing and will continue as needed until all funds are obligated.

DPD desires to fund projects that strategically assist with the recovery efforts and support other investments which are occurring. To that end, DPD is continuing to conduct a needs assessment beyond this initial research to gain a more complete understanding of the impacts and unmet need related to this flooding. Based upon our discussions and review of available data to date, the budget included earlier in this Plan proposes that the CDBG-DR funding will support strategic acquisition of properties that experience recurring flooding; rehabilitation and remediation of homes and/or businesses that were severely impacted; infrastructure improvements to mitigate future flooding; a tenant-based rental assistance program to help residents who are/were displaced by the disaster to afford new rental homes, which may have increased rents due to increased demand for housing in the area; the construction of some replacement housing for special needs populations and seniors, where demand is high; economic development projects to assist the economic recovery of impacted areas; and planning efforts to assess flooding risk, support recovery efforts, and potentially reduce the likelihood/impacts of future flooding.

Stakeholder Consultation and Citizen Participation

The stakeholder and general public consultation and citizen participation process for this proposed Substantial Amendment conforms with the County's currently adopted Citizen Participation Plan which was most recently amended in September 2014 with a CDBG-DR specific addendum. This draft Substantial Amendment was made available for public review and comment for the required 30 day public review/comment period as prescribed by the related regulations. The updates to this Action Plan were discussed with the Cook County Economic Development Advisory Council (EDAC) on March 25, 2015, and approved by the Cook County Board on April 1, 2015. Both meetings functioned as public hearings. The Citizen Participation Plan is designed to improve HUD compliance as well as more effectively engage the public in Cook County programs. The Cook County Department of Planning and Development currently solicits public input and comment regarding HUD-funded programs several times a year via informal and formal methods including electronic newsletters, electronic surveys, stakeholder and focus groups, and public hearings. At a minimum, the Department will conduct a public hearing to provide basic information and discuss local needs and priorities as well as present proposed activities, and evaluate performance specifically for this program. Informally, the Department collects input every time it meets with funding recipients,

intermediaries, and community representatives as part of the normal course of carrying out its work program. For this particular draft plan, the stakeholder/public consultation process is inclusive of the following: a public notice noting availability of the draft plan for review and comment was published in a local newspaper, posted on the County's website

(http://www.cookcountyil.gov/economicdevelopment/cdbg-dr/), and transmitted via electronic newsletter to stakeholders including local municipalities, social service providers, developers, and members of the local Continuum of Care.

The draft Plan was made available to the public for review and comment for thirty days from April 13, 2015 thru May 12, 2015 per HUD requirements via the Cook County website at this link: http://www.cookcountyil.gov/economicdevelopment/cdbg-dr/ as well as on-site at Cook County offices located at 69 West Washington, Chicago, IL 60602. Written comments were accepted during this period and directed to Jennifer Miller at the aforementioned address or via email at jennifer.miller@cookcountyil.gov. Please note – no public comments were received.

General questions regarding this draft Plan or proposed programming as well as requests for special assistance related to limited English proficiency or disabilities should be directed to Jennifer Miller at 312-603-1072 or jennifer.miller@cookcountyil.gov, or TDD (Hearing Impaired) 312-603-5255.

NARRATIVE

1. Needs Assessment:

The ongoing Needs Assessment evaluates the three core aspects of recovery - housing, infrastructure, and economic development - related to the declared flooding disaster that occurred between April 16th and May 5th, 2013. However, first it is necessary to assess the overall impacts through extensive consultation with and review of the related research of internal and external agencies. To date, this consultation has targeted the Cook County Departments of Homeland Security and Emergency Management, Environmental Control, Transportation and Highways, Public Health, the Cook County Forest Preserve District, the Illinois and Federal Emergency Management Agencies (IEMA and FEMA, respectively), the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District (MWRD), the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), the Center for Neighborhood Technology (CNT), and municipal and township leaders.

This initial needs assessment noted substantial flooding was documented throughout the County during this time period, with a significant amount of related damage occurring along the Des Plaines River watershed that initiates in Wisconsin, flows into Cook County, and includes small tributaries running through the majority of the County. There are several smaller tributaries that flow into the river heading south and into the Illinois River. A few independent studies inclusive of the County focused upon disaster issues have proven extremely valuable. First, USACE recently conducted a full study of the Upper Des Plaines River Watershed, which is currently in the process of being finalized. MWRD, who is charged with storm water management for all of Cook County, has also completed Detailed Watershed Plans for the six major watersheds in Cook County. Additionally, the County solicited and reviewed extensive FEMA/IEMA data on funding provided to individual affected homeowners as a result of the flooding to gain a stronger understanding of the geographic distribution of existing assistance. In addition, Cook County's Department of Homeland Security and Emergency Management recently developed a Hazard Mitigation Plan which also outlines specifically the needs for those who have

experienced flooding within Cook County boundaries. The County has also reviewed FEMA RiskMAP assessments conducted in over 20 municipalities in suburban Cook. Lastly, a study by CNT, which focused specifically on urban flooding and analyzed individual claims to FEMA/IEMA as well as private insurers, helped to provide a fuller picture of the prevalence and location of the flooding impacts, related needs, and leverage of other resources.

Recognizing that additional community-specific input was needed as part of this needs assessment, the County also developed and transmitted an electronic survey to 200+ stakeholder groups including 130+ local municipalities and 70+ housing providers throughout the County regarding flood impacts in their community and to their properties. A summary of all survey responses to date are in the Appendix (Note: The survey responses were updated for the first Substantial Amendment). The County is continuing to solicit responses and will review/analyze the related results as part of an ongoing assessment of unmet needs.

Additional needs assessment is ongoing and the County may utilize a portion of the CDBG-DR funds for planning to refine its strategy further and conduct neighborhood level demographic analysis.

Below is a more detailed examination of the three core areas of recovery needs: housing, infrastructure, and economic development in the context of this flood disaster.

Housing

FEMA Individual Assistance was examined for DR 4116, the disaster declaration related to the flooding event in April and May 2013. In particular, Housing Assistance (HA) data – one of two types of assistance available under the Individuals and Households Program (IHP) – was assessed to understand which municipalities had significant impact from this disaster. The table below identifies municipalities that met one of three criteria: 5% or more of the municipality's housing units applied for assistance; 400 or more eligible applications were submitted for assistance; or approved damages exceeded \$1,000,000. The 28 municipalities that met this criteria are listed.

Damaged Address City	Applications	HA Eligible	HA Approved
		Applications	Amount
Cook County Total	78,558	43,552	\$101,955,680.01
BELLWOOD	2,070	1,359	\$3,945,337.78
BERKELEY	295	218	\$642,718.57
BROADVIEW	663	439	\$968,458.76
BROOKFIELD	428	327	\$1,045,014.37
CALUMET CITY	2,380	1,274	\$3,191,787.80
CALUMET PARK	617	385	\$1,021,609.21
CHICAGO HEIGHTS	1,231	713	\$1,951,766.33
COUNTRY CLUB HILLS	763	485	\$1,526,254.55
DES PLAINES	737	398	\$1,155,378.38
DOLTON	2,044	1,126	\$2,715,636.48
FORD HEIGHTS	129	56	\$182,162.27
FOREST VIEW	196	172	\$1,955,337.50
GLENWOOD	334	195	\$609,417.00

HARVEY	1,807	846	\$2,024,355.72
HAZEL CREST	795	443	\$1,237,027.41
HILLSIDE	415	274	\$775,995.90
MARKHAM	659	328	\$989,900.17
MAYWOOD	1,748	1,107	\$2,585,148.25
MELROSE PARK	712	465	\$1,476,084.52
PARK RIDGE	743	513	\$1,149,595.00
PHOENIX	151	72	\$175,257.09
RIVERDALE	1,137	599	\$1,354,266.51
ROBBINS	241	106	\$329,674.92
SAUK VILLAGE	408	196	\$572,114.83
SKOKIE	957	613	\$1,428,751.57
SOUTH HOLLAND	1,551	1,000	\$2,765,171.96
STONE PARK	170	91	\$289,884.17
WESTCHESTER	668	393	\$978,522.66

The County is continuing to work with IEMA to analyze the FEMA verified loss data to better understand the remaining unmet need and assist with geographic targeting of the CDBG-DR funding.

While the HA data above provides a sense of the damage experienced across municipalities in Cook County, FEMA Disaster Relief data does not reflect the full picture of impacted municipalities. Private insurance also covers a significant portion of the damages from disaster events, yet may leave unmet need. "The Prevalence and Cost of Urban Flooding" report from the Center of Neighborhood Technology (CNT) describes how in recent years flood impacts have been widespread in Cook County – impacting 96% of ZIP codes – and not necessarily correlated with floodplains. This report including related maps is included in the Appendix. While this report did not examine the flood impacts in 2013, the nature and extent of flooding in the 2013 event is likely similar to the flood events in 2007-2011 covered by the report. The municipal and housing provider surveys mentioned above are providing an additional resource for damage information and unmet need related to last year's flooding. The County also conducted significant outreach recently related to the National Disaster Resilience Competition (NDRC) Phase 1 application process. This outreach has provided additional local knowledge related to flood impacts in the NDRC pilot area in the southern suburbs, as well as more information about disaster-related issues across suburban Cook County. The County also reviewed and analyzed more detailed FEMA and SBA claim information in preparation of its Phase 1 application.

Repetitive loss properties also emerged as a significant area needing attention. IEMA administers an acquisition and buyout program through the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP) and has been evaluating applications from Des Plaines, Glenview, Glenwood, and River Forest. All of these acquisition/buyout projects require a local match. The USACE report recommends non-structural measures including buyouts, with specific mention of Des Plaines, Park Ridge, River Grove, Riverside, Rosemont, and Wheeling. The Illinois Department of Natural Resources (IDNR) also maintains a list of repetitive loss properties. MWRD has also set aside \$30 million for additional buy-outs. Coordination with all the agencies is underway, and common applications and agreements will be utilized. The needs assessment has revealed that buyouts are typically viewed as one of the higher value activities in terms of the benefit-cost ratio. In addition to buyouts, the USACE report also recommends many areas for flood-proofing.

The County also consulted with two key stakeholder housing groups: local public housing authorities and the Continuum of Care.

Cook County has five public housing authorities which service the entire County (not including the City of Chicago): the Housing Authorities of Cicero, Maywood, Oak Park, Park Forest, and The Housing Authority of Cook County (HACC). Cicero, Maywood, and Park Forest each administer housing choice vouchers only. As such, they did not own or manage any properties specifically impacted by the flooding. Conversely, the HACC owns and manages 1,850 public housing units and administers 13,000 housing choice vouchers throughout suburban Cook County, and Oak Park Housing Authority owns 542 units, and manages 492 housing choice vouchers in Oak Park. While no HACC-owned buildings received documented damage specific to the flooding during this period that has not yet been remediated, the flooding exacerbated already deteriorating physical conditions in related properties. Additionally, it is possible that some privately owned properties where voucher holders reside were impacted and are still in need of assistance. However, HACC has not been formally made aware of any such instances to date. It is expected that outreach efforts related to CDBG-DR programming and available assistance will shed light on related needs.

The Alliance to End Homelessness in Suburban Cook County is the local Continuum of Care Agency and they have completed an analysis of 2013 Homes in the Continuum (HIC) – Unmet Need. Within suburban Cook County at the countywide level, the Alliance has projected a need for additional beds of permanent supportive housing (PSH) to serve 434 people, including 46 families with children (141 people) and 293 adults in adult-only households (singles, couples, etc.). Please see the chart below for details:

HIC - Unmet Need

	All Year-Round Beds/Units						Overflow
Beds for Households with at Least One Adult and One Child	Units for Households with at Least One Adult and One Child	Beds for Households without Children	Beds for Households with Only Children	Units for Households with Only Children	Total Year- Round Beds	Total Seasonal Beds	Overflow Beds
Emergency SI	nelter						
12	4	110	6	5	128	30	28
Transitional H	ousing						
67	20	205	6	3	278		
Safe Haven							
		27			27		
Permanent Su	Permanent Supportive Housing						
141	46	293	0	0	434		

Further, the Alliance has calculated the percentage of persons living in poverty by sub-region, as well as the cost burden of housing as a percent of income by sub-region. In the north, 36.8% of the households are cost burdened, that means 16 family PSH units with 48 beds, plus 100 adult PSH beds. This unmet need calculation is for permanent supportive housing to serve homeless households, and the need for supportive housing more broadly could be higher. Please see the chart below for details:

FY2015 IDHS Prevention Application - Alliance to End Homelessness in Suburban Cook County Data to Consider in Determining Each Region's Proportion of Funding

		Percent of	People In		Proportion of SCC's
Region	Total People	People	Poverty	Poverty Rate	People in Poverty
N	1,046,278	42.43%	77,993	7.45%	30.95%
S	784,276	31.80%	102,584	13.08%	40.71%
W	635,506	25.77%	71,421	11.24%	28.34%
Total	2,466,060	100.00%	251,998	10.22%	100.00%

Region	verage (of dian Rents)	edian (of lian Rents)	Ratio to Overall Median
N	\$ 1,177	\$ 1,111	1.134
S	\$ 941	\$ 928	0.947
W	\$ 918	\$ 916	0.935
Total	\$ 1,020	\$ 980	1.000

Source: U.S. Census Bureau's 2007-2012 American Community Survey 5-year Estimates Program

Severe Housing Cost Burden: 50% or more Housing Cost Burdened

					% Sev HCB of total
Region	Sev HCB	% of Total	All renters	% of Renters	renters
N	22,851	36.86%	97,942	41.14%	23.33%
S	21,478	34.65%	70,133	29.46%	30.62%
W	17,664	28.49%	69,986	29.40%	25.24%
Total	61,993	100.00%	238,061	100.00%	26.04%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau's 2008-2012 American Community Survey 5-year Estimates

One reason to focus on the Des Plaines Watershed for the replacement housing is that the south suburbs benefitted from the opening of South Suburban PADS' Country Club Hills Wellness Center (77 units of PSH) in October 2012, and the west suburbs benefitted from the opening of West Suburban PADS' Open Door Housing (65 scattered-site leased PSH apartments). The north suburbs would benefit from additional supportive housing of a similar scale.

Infrastructure

USACE has produced the Upper Des Plaines River and Tributaries, Illinois and Wisconsin Integrated Feasibility Report and Environmental Assessment. The plans described in the report propose the construction of levees, reservoirs and other features to reduce flood damages in the Upper Des Plaines River Watershed. Communities in this area have experienced major flooding and damages over the past 30 years, and this watershed was among the most impacted by the flooding in April 2013. The USACE study evaluated a range of measures related to flood risk management. The full plan recommended by the report includes 14 recommendations for Cook County, one of which covers the non-structural measures (buyouts and flood-proofing) mentioned in the Housing section above. Eleven of the remaining thirteen projects are recommended for other implementation channels, but two projects

were found to be economically justified but not compliant with USACE policy and therefore ideal for implementation by state or local entities. These two projects are:

- Lake Mary Anne Pump Station in Maine Township
- First Avenue Bridge Modification in River Grove

The County will continue working with USACE, the local jurisdictions and other partners to evaluate these two projects for potential use of CDBG-DR funding. The Lake Mary Anne project is part of a larger MWRD project described in more detail below.

MWRD has a significant pipeline of storm water management projects through its Phase 1 and Phase 2 programs. Phase 1 includes regional flood control projects that were recommended in MWRD's Detailed Watershed Plans, and these projects aim to address flood control and overbank flooding on regional waterways. Each recommended project was categorized as either streambank stabilization or flood control based on its primary objective. MWRD developed a prioritization methodology that recommended the highest priority for implementation be given to streambank stabilization projects that address public safety and/or protect structures or infrastructure in imminent danger of failure. The next highest priority was flood control projects, which were ranked using factors including the project's benefit-to-cost ratio, the number of benefiting communities, total dollar cost and total dollar benefit. Cook County is focusing on the Phase 1 flood control projects given the nature and purpose of the CDBG-DR funding, though some streambank stabilization projects have flood control benefits as well. Phase 1 projects currently under design and/or likely to be bid within the next year are included below.

MWRD's Phase 2 program includes projects that will assist communities with more localized flooding issues. Information about flooding concerns, current efforts underway, and any applicable studies were solicited from units of government and other agencies within Cook County. Over 600 problem areas and/or project requests were received. Based on the severity of flooding and project specifics, MWRD initially recommended 35 projects for implementation. The full set of Phase 2 projects approved by the MWRD Board in September 2013 is included in the Appendix. Phase 2 includes 12 projects now in the design stage and 23 conceptual projects in a variety of areas across Cook County. Four additional Phase 2 projects (in Brookfield, Burbank, Elk Grove Village, and Franklin Park) were approved by the MWRD Board in October 2014.

Many of these Phase 1 and Phase 2 projects will need or would benefit from local matching funding to help them move forward to implementation. The County has preliminarily identified the ten Phase 1 projects below to potentially be supported with CDBG-DR funding, though other Phase 1 projects may be considered if appropriate. The County is also reviewing the initial 35 Phase 2 projects along with the four new Phase 2 projects mentioned above. Assessment of the appropriate level of CDBG-DR support is still underway.

MWRD Phase 1 Storm Water Management Projects include:

- Flood Control Project on Farmers and Prairie Creeks in Maine Township, Park Ridge, and Niles (which includes the Lake Mary Anne project mentioned above)
- Lyons Levee in Forest View
- Flood Control Project for Deer Creek in Ford Heights
- Flood Control / Streambank Stabilization Project on Tinley Creek in Crestwood

- Flood Control Project on Cherry Creek East Branch in Flossmoor
- Arquilla Park Levee in Glenwood
- Streambank Stabilization Projects along Midlothian Creek and Calumet Union Drainage Ditch in Markham
- Flood Control Project at Arrowhead Lake in Palos Heights
- Addison Creek Reservoir and Channel Improvements in six communities
- Addison Creek Streambank Stabilization Project in Northlake

In light of the requirement for a comprehensive risk analysis of proposed infrastructure projects, the County views a partnership with MWRD to help implement their existing pipeline of stormwater projects as the logical path forward given MWRD's extensive analysis of the proposed projects. The County relied on a variety of studies as mentioned above, but a primary resource has been MWRD's six Detailed Watershed Plans (DWPs). The DWPs combined existing planning data with computer modeling, damage estimation, rainfall data, impacts of climate change and other inputs to arrive at a data-driven set of recommended projects for each watershed. The projects were assessed based on project benefit, project cost, the area removed from the floodplain, number of structures protected, among other factors. The County, in conjunction with MWRD, is using MWRD's analyses to identify the intersection of projects that require additional financial support and that also link to recovery from the declared disaster and accomplish the low-to-moderate income goals of the CDBG-DR program. A particular focus is on the areas most impacted areas by the disaster that have seen significant recovery resources invested, such as FEMA individual assistance (see the Appendix and the Housing section above). The County will be prioritizing infrastructure investments that will preserve and protect these past recovery efforts.

Through the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP), IEMA has also received requests for structural projects in municipalities, including Westchester, Matteson, and Northbrook. The County has identified and will continue to identify problem areas via the municipal surveys, referrals from the Cook County Department of Transportation and Highways (CCDOTH), and other outreach and will evaluate the appropriate response. In tandem with MWRD and other partners, the needed comprehensive risk analysis will be conducted to determine the best infrastructure projects to pursue, including the installation of green infrastructure to improve stormwater management.

The County also examined FEMA Public Assistance damage estimates from 2013 flooding. In total, the damage estimates for Cook County (excluding the City of Chicago) exceeded \$7 million. Excluding the Debris Removal and Emergency Protective Measures categories, the damage estimate is over \$2.1 million. A full list of damage assessment data by FEMA applicant is included in the Appendix. The most impacted municipalities include Des Plaines, Franklin Park, Forest View and Maywood. While significant damage occurred, Cook County did not meet the FEMA threshold and was therefore denied Public Assistance. As a result, much of this damage may still be an unmet need. The County is comparing this data with the municipal survey results, FEMA RiskMAP assessments, and other information gathered to determine where support may still be needed.

Economic Development

During the County's consultations, ongoing impact on the business community has not frequently been cited as a need. Several business losses were mentioned by local jurisdictions, but a remaining impact was not indicated as a high priority. The municipal surveys conducted for this needs assessment did ask

about flood-related business impacts, and results received to date are included in the Appendix. The County's work on the NDRC Phase 1 application did reveal business impacts in the NDRC pilot area in the southern suburbs. The County is in the process of gathering specific business data and is evaluating data from the U.S. Small Business Administration (SBA) disaster loan program.

Infrastructure projects, like those noted in the above section, would have positive economic benefits and likely reduce flood-related impacts on businesses. The County has also been evaluating infrastructure projects in commercial and industrial areas that will address flooding issues and assist in economic recovery in the area. The County has also been engaged in the increasing use of green infrastructure as a stormwater management solution. Discussions are underway as to how the County can support new workforce development efforts aimed at training local residents in the implementation of maintenance of green infrastructure and other flood-related tasks.

Cook County has been increasing its economic development efforts through increased outreach to businesses for existing tax incentives as well as through new tools like the BUILT (Broadening Urban Investment to Leverage Transportation) in Cook Loan Fund (a HUD Section 108 loan fund) and the Cook County Land Bank Authority (CCLBA). As the needs assessment continues and County staff meets with businesses, long-term economic recovery from flood impacts will be kept at the forefront of conversations. The County may evaluate the use of loan or grant programs in areas impacted by the flooding.

2. Connections between Needs and Allocation(s) of Funds:

The above assessment has identified a significant need for resources to address housing and infrastructure projects and initiatives in suburban Cook County, along with a smaller yet important need for disaster-related economic development efforts. As a result, we are proposing that the allocation of CDBG-DR funding be focused on the following activity categories:

- Strategic Acquisition Program A significant need exists for property acquisition and buyout activity in suburban Cook County. IEMA, USACE, and IDNR all indicate the need for resources to support this activity. IEMA's most recent round of Hazard Mitigation Grant Program applications related to the April 2013 flooding resulted in buyout requests that far exceeded the available funding. IEMA's program also requires a local match. MWRD has also initiated a buyout program this year. Given the high benefit-cost ratio typically associated with buyouts, the County is proposing to allocate a significant amount to this activity. The County will work with partners like the Forest Preserve District of Cook County and local park districts to identify the proper future ownership and use of the subject properties.
- Rehabilitation / Remediation Based on consultations and a review of FEMA Housing Assistance data, a significant unmet need likely remains on homes impacted by last year's flooding. The County will continue working with IEMA, local jurisdictions and other partners to gain a more detailed understanding of the unmet need, but currently anticipates supporting rehabilitation and remediation efforts in the two sub-regions of suburban Cook County: south and north with the dividing line as 39th Street.
- Infrastructure As noted in the above needs assessment, MWRD, IEMA and USACE have
 identified a strong pipeline of infrastructure projects to address flooding issues in suburban
 Cook County. In many cases, local resources or a local match is needed to move the projects

forward. Some of these projects are proceeding very soon, making them good candidates for partnership with the CDBG-DR funding. The County will continue working with the above partners, CCDOTH and local jurisdictions to identify the infrastructure projects that align best with the purpose and eligibility requirements of the CDBG-DR funding. Given the identified need and required funding, the County has made a significant allocation for this activity category, the largest of all the activities.

- Replacement Housing The needs assessment identified an unmet need for over 400 units of
 permanent supportive housing (PSH) in suburban Cook County. Given the high cost of housing in
 the north and northwest, and the recent PSH projects in the south and west suburbs, the County
 may focus these resources in the north/northwest part of the Cook County. The County will
 work with local jurisdictions and other partners to identify projects that best meet these unmet
 needs in disaster-impacted areas.
- Tenant-Based Rental Assistance Due to the high cost of housing in suburban Cook County, as
 well as the high demand for rental housing, providing rental assistance to households who have
 needed to move as a result of the flood may help them find replacement housing they would
 not otherwise be able to afford.
- Economic Development While funding had not been identified for economic development
 prior to this Substantial Amendment, the above needs assessment indicates that the County has
 learned of additional business impacts during more recent consultations. In addition, the
 potential to support infrastructure projects that address both flood impacts and economic
 recovery has surfaced during outreach and consultations, as well as the ability to connect local
 residents to employment opportunities in supporting the growing use of green infrastructure. As
 a result, the County has identified a need for funding in this category.
- Planning While not explicitly addressed in the above needs assessment, the County's consultations have uncovered a continued need for planning support related to flood mitigation efforts. Coordination with the needs identified in the County's new Hazard Mitigation Plan (HMP) and support for HMP implementation is a key area of focus. Furthermore, planning capacity challenges exist in suburban Cook County particularly on stormwater planning issues, and the CDBG-DR funding provides an important opportunity to address these gaps. Municipalities have inquired about available support for planning through the CDBG-DR funding. The Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP), the official regional planning organization for northeastern Illinois, currently provides planning assistance to suburban Cook County municipalities through its Local Technical Assistance (LTA) program. The County intends to use CDBG-DR funding to support an increased level of stormwater planning via the LTA program. This LTA support may be combined with other planning support to allow municipalities to better prepare proposed projects for MWRD's Phase 2 program or to pursue the capital improvements themselves. Furthermore, MWRD is piloting an initiative to create storm water master plans focused on problem areas, with four plans to be developed in suburban Cook County, and the County will partner accordingly. One of these pilot plans overlaps with the County's NDRC pilot area, and additional planning resources may be required to support the efforts to improve the County's disaster resilience. The County is also interested in determining how best to bring important tools like Flow Path modeling, sewershed optimization, and soil mapping to suburban jurisdictions and other partners to drive better stormwater management

investment decisions. Center for Neighborhood Technology (CNT) has developed the "Rain Ready" Initiative that works with local communities to create stormwater plans that include early warning systems and discusses how neighbors can work together. CDBG –DR funding is being explored to bring this program to additional suburban communities. Diagnostic testing that can point to solutions for reducing infiltration and inflow into the sewer system is another area the County plans to support. The County will support efforts to amend MWRD's existing Detailed Watershed Plans to be approvable under Section 319 of the Clean Water Act and thereby create access to new sources of funding for stormwater management projects. Lastly, the County is examining geographies in need of FEMA map amendments and other local planning needs that will mitigate future flooding and foster long term economic recovery in impacted areas. Given all of these identified needs, we are allocating significant resources to be able to support this array of important planning efforts that will reduce future costs and flood impacts.

The Department will identify priority projects based upon the continuing needs assessment and solicit participation from municipalities, subrecipients, developers, or individual residents as appropriate. CDBG funds will be approved and distributed on a project by project basis with priority given to those projects located within areas of greatest need, as listed elsewhere in this draft Plan, and those projects that help achieve the CDBG-DR low and moderate income expenditure requirement. As with PY 2014 CDBG and HOME projects, funding will follow need and there is no restriction on projects by sub-regions although the region bordering the Des Plaines watershed continues to demonstrate the greatest needs based upon research to date.

3. Planning and Coordination:

As part of the development of this Action Plan and its Substantial Amendment, the Department of Planning and Development has been working with Cook County's Departments of Transportation and Highways and Environmental Control, the Forest Preserve District of Cook County, the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District, FEMA, and IEMA. Additionally, Cook County's Department of Homeland Security and Emergency Management has recently undertaken the writing of our first Multi-Jurisdictional All Hazards Mitigation Plan. This final Plan was adopted by the County Board in September 2014. County leadership have participated in several workshops around the concepts of planning for natural disasters, as well as convened meetings specifically to deal with the flooding which occurred in April 2013. Through this process, several areas are being targeted for specific study – whether for storm water management or better infrastructure. Cook County is in the process of determining which areas will benefit from more in-depth study and may utilize planning funds from this grant for this purpose. In determining specific uses of the CDBG-DR funding, the County plans to build on the research and work already done by the above subject matter experts.

4. Leveraging of Funds:

The CDBG- DR Funds will be used in conjunction with other funds and therefore leverage resources strategically to reach highest impact. Specifically, they will be used as local match to the identified Infrastructure projects, including those recommended for implementation by MWRD and USACE. IEMA also has funds for buy-out of flood damaged homes that require a local match. FEMA has already invested over \$63 million in the County in emergency relief for individuals and homeowners, and Cook County through their own CDBG and corporate funding will be making additional investments in the municipalities and unincorporated areas of Cook County. In regards to the Rehabilitation and

Renovation program, DPD will be working with the Department of Public Health and Neighborhood Housing Services and utilizing their funding for Torrens Projects and funding from the National Mortgage Settlement to fund a larger Single Family Rehab program. The Tenant Based Rental Assistance Program will have two parts to it: the first is a program that will give individuals and families up to three months of rental assistance (up to \$6,000) for emergencies caused by the flooding. The second part of the program will work in conjunction with the Housing Authority of Cook County, where families will be identified who have been displaced due to flooding or lack of available housing due to flooding and who can become self-sufficient within a two year period. CDBG – DR funding will focus on providing services to these families such as job counseling and they will be given Housing Choice Vouchers. In the case of replacement housing, CDBG-DR would be a small portion of the total project costs.

5. Protection of People and Property: Construction Methods:

Cook County Written Housing Standards for Owner Occupied Single Family and Multi Family Residential Rehabilitation and New Construction will be used for CDBG Homeownership Purchase and/or Rehabilitation assisted activities. In addition, all homes either newly constructed or renovated will adhere to HUD's Green Building Standard or Green Retrofit program per the HUD Green Building Retrofit Checklist. The County's written Housing Standards, as well as the Green Building Standard or Retrofit will be incorporated in the CDBG agreements by reference. All housing that requires rehabilitation or is newly constructed must meet the applicable building codes of the municipality or local housing jurisdiction in which it is located, as well as the HUD Green Building Retrofit Checklist. Local building codes and written housing standards take precedence if they are more stringent than County standards. If no code enforcement exists in the Jurisdiction, the property will be governed by the current BOCA (Building Officials and Code Administrators International) Code for the State of Illinois. Cook County Written Housing Standards will be used to describe in detail the specifications for the rehabilitation work needed to bring substandard housing into compliance with the State of Illinois BOCA Code. Green or energy efficient/environmentally friendly components will be included in these aforementioned standards. When proceeding with work in the infrastructure category, the County will incorporate resilience performance standards that can be applied to each infrastructure project. In particular, the County is looking to resources like the Toolkit for Resilient Cities, a research effort produced by Arup, the Regional Plan Association and Siemens. This report identified five resilience performance indicators - robustness, redundancy, diversity and flexibility, responsiveness, and coordination – that can be used to help assess the resilience of infrastructure. The County will incorporate these indicators into its assessment of potential infrastructure projects, with a goal of strengthening each of these areas through the set of projects that are selected for funding. The County will also use research and resources developed through HUD's Rebuild by Design competition as well as the Rockefeller Foundation's work on city resilience. The County certifies that it will apply the resilience standards required in section V.3.e of the June 3, 2014 Notice.

6. Public Housing, HUD- assisted Housing, and Housing for the Homeless:

As noted earlier, while no housing authority owned or managed property has specifically documented damage related to the flooding, it did exacerbate existing building deterioration. Additionally, privately-owned properties which house housing choice voucher holders may be eligible for assistance. There are two senior buildings, one in Skokie and one in Arlington Heights that need significant repair and a renovation program is being designed for both buildings. It is further anticipated that related outreach regarding the program and available funding will shed light on need and related eligibility within the aforementioned categories. Additionally, the County will continue to coordinate with the Continuum of

Care to identify properties which serve as emergency shelters or housing for the homeless/at-risk of homelessness as potential funding targets. As the needs assessment is ongoing, specific properties have not yet been identified.

It is anticipated that there will be a focus on replacement housing that is permanent supportive housing to further assist households with special needs. Consideration of a focus on geographic areas adjacent to the Des Plaines Watershed is based in part on the existence of such housing in the South and West whereas the North continues to have a need for additional supportive housing of a similar scale. Moreover, the State of Illinois has committed in two Consent Decrees; Williams v. Quinn and Colbert v. Quinn, to provide community supportive housing. While Cook County was not a party to the decrees, we see supportive housing as an important community resource and will help to assist in this effort where possible and appropriate with CDBG-DR funds.

Cook County has also been approached by several developers to assist with funding for permanent supportive housing. Several of the projects are in communities that have suffered from the April 2013 flooding, so Cook County has made an initial funding allocation for supportive replacement housing.

As for low income home owners, the County will use a portion of the funding to create a new Single Family Rehab program that will serve as a resource for residences with one to four units.

7. Disaster – Resistant Housing:

Cook County is considering new construction and renovation of replacement housing with these grant funds and will have an opportunity to encourage provision of disaster-resistant housing for all income groups, as well as persons with disabilities and seniors. Toward that end, Cook County will use this grant along with its HOME, CDBG and ESG funding to address the following:

- (a) The transitional housing, permanent supportive housing, and permanent housing needs of individuals and families who are homeless or at-risk of homelessness. As an active member of The Alliance to End Homelessness in Suburban Cook County (Alliance), Cook County DPD works with the other Alliance agencies to produce new units of housing for such persons and families, preserve the units already available through rehabilitation, and fund housing stabilization services. This is accomplished through a collaborative application for Continuum of Care funding, as well as: Community Development Block Grant (rehab and public service funding); HOME Investment Partnerships Program (new construction and renovation); and Emergency Solutions Grants (shelter, street outreach, homeless prevention and rapid rehousing) funding. Flooding is the most common natural disaster that affects housing in Cook County, so **no** new construction is carried out in the floodplain.
- (b) The prevention of low-income individuals and families with children from becoming homeless is part of the mission of the Alliance. The Alliance brings together a range of services and housing options for those in need with multiple information and access points for emergency assistance. Homeless service providers, local government agencies, community groups, faith based organizations, and other concerned residents bring together their housing and emergency assistance resources and participate in joint planning. Information is available to the public through wide distribution of printed brochures, web-based information systems, and a Virtual Call Center that provides referral assistance to residents throughout suburban Cook County. Some member organizations provide legal assistance and other probono services for those who are homeless or at risk of losing their housing. Alliance agencies partake in community fairs, "clinics" or walk-in events designed to engage unsheltered persons in services. Other

efforts such as street outreach and meals-only services at the shelter target unsheltered homeless persons. The 150 Homes Team (a local effort of the 100,000 Homes Campaign) has been working with the most vulnerable unsheltered persons to secure housing through Section 8 Flow Vouchers and Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) units.

Persons calling the Virtual Call Center, which is also funded by the County, are referred to an agency in their area that will best be able to assist them. While the Virtual Call Center exists, its hours and staffing are limited, and the County is exploring ways to support a more comprehensive 211 system that would also provide disaster recovery benefits. The Alliance's Homelessness Prevention Committee has been working on coordinated protocols for screening and eligibility determination. The Homeless Prevention Committee has also been working toward developing a standard coordinated assessment process to determine the best way to assist an applicant to regain stability in their current housing; to achieve stability as they move to new permanent housing; or, for those in emergency shelters or who are unsheltered, to move as quickly as possible into permanent housing and achieve stability.

Coordination of services is standard through use of the HUD mandated Homeless Management Information System (HMIS), and all agencies are required to use it.

- (c) The special needs of persons who are not homeless but require supportive housing are taken into consideration during the Cook County Consolidated Planning and annual funding allocation processes. Housing projects are awarded to developers and non-profits on a competitive basis. However, there are several Court cases especially in Northwest Cook County against municipalities regarding the placement of these facilities. The State of Illinois is also under a Consent decree to find suitable housing for persons currently living in nursing homes, but who can successfully live in the communities with support in Cook County (Colbert v. Quinn). Toward that end, Cook County will work with several developers who are looking to build supportive housing in the communities that have been affected by the flood, but not in the flood plain. An emphasis is placed on projects serving vulnerable populations. Additional multipliers are also given for projects serving: individuals at the lowest income levels; persons with disabilities; persons with mental illness; and persons with additional housing challenges.
- (d) Cook County will also address how planning decisions affect racial, ethnic, and low-income concentrations directly in response to this disaster. It will ensure that all planning practices conform to Federal fair housing and equal opportunity protocols as well as the County's Human Rights ordinance.
- (e) One of the most troubling issues the County contends with is the spatial mismatch between the respective locations of jobs and housing. The County has begun reaching out to as many constituents as possible as part of our Planning for Progress (PFP) strategic planning initiative. PFP will be inclusive of the 2015-2019 Consolidated Plan, focused on affordable housing, community development, and economic development needs and priorities, and will be submitted to HUD in August of 2015. A common repeating theme in the outreach conducted and feedback obtained to date concerns the location of new construction housing in "opportunity areas" and co-located with other investments. While CMAP defined preliminary opportunity areas in their Fair Housing Equity Assessment (FHEA), the County is exploring potential approaches related to target areas and related prioritization of resources including but not limited to the renovation and maintenance of existing housing.

8. Minimize or Address Displacement:

All CDBG-DR-funded acquisitions of real property are expected to be voluntary acquisitions and are exempt from Section 301 of the URA. Given the nature of the funding, relocations will be funded in accordance with the regulations and limitations set out under the Uniform Relocation Act (URA). Funding will be available to relocate renters who currently live in a flood plain and have experienced repetitive and excessive damage. In addition to receiving moving expenses, they are eligible for the difference between their current rents and the new rents for up to 42 months.

Homeowners will be offered a fair market value for their property as determined by a third party appraisal. The homeowner is also allowed to seek a determination of fair market value. If the two are not aligned, a third party will be engaged to make the final determination of Fair Market Value. These homes will be demolished and the property will be given to a public agency to be maintained as wetlands, forest preserves or park district areas that can sustain flooding with minimal damage.

9. Program Income:

CDBG-DR program income is the gross income received by Cook County and its sub-recipients directly generated from the use of CDBG-DR funds. Program Income may come from the following sources:

- Proceeds from the sale or lease of property purchased or improved with CDBG-DR funds
- Proceeds from the sale or lease of equipment purchased with CDBG-DR funds
- Gross income from the use or rental of real or personal property acquired constructed or improved by Cook County (or a sub recipient), less the costs incidental to generate program income
- Payments of principal and interest on loans made with using CDBG-DR funds
- Proceeds from the sale of loans or obligations secured by loans made with CDBG-DR funds
- Interest earned on program pending its disposition.

Program income does not include:

- Income earned from the investment of initial proceeds of a grant advance from the U.S.
 Treasury
- Interest earned on loans or other forms of assistance with CDBG-DR funds that are used for activities that are determined by HUD to be ineligible
- Interest earned on the investment of amounts reimbursed to a program account prior to the use of the reimbursed funds for eligible activities
- Any income received in a single program year by the grantee and its sub recipients, that does not exceed \$25,000;
- Income generated by certain Section 108 activities (refer to 570.500(a)(4)(ii)
- Proceeds from sub recipient fundraising activities
- Funds collected through special assessments to recover non-CDBG-DR outlays of public improvements
- Proceeds from the disposition of real property by a sub recipient or the County, that was
 acquired or improved with CDBG-DR funds, when the disposition occurs after the time
 period specified in 570.503(b)(7) for sub recipient owned property (generally five years

after the expiration of the sub recipient agreement) or the time period required by 570.505 for grantee-owned property.

Cook County may authorize a sub-recipient to retain program income for additional CDBG-DR projects pursuant to a written agreement. Program income (other than program income deposited in a revolving loan fund) must be disbursed prior to the drawdown of additional funds from the U.S. Treasury (or in the case of a sub recipient, from the County). The CDBG-DR regulations require the County to determine if it has excess program income on hand and return any excess to its line of credit.

Any program income received as a result of this program will be recycled into the program for further support of eligible related activities (minus any allowable administrative expenses).

10. Monitoring Standard and Procedures

Cook County will follow its new Monitoring Standards and Procedures, which have been written as part of their HUD-funded One CPD technical assistance process. Monitoring protocols will vary by activity type and are particularly intensive for housing projects. A full copy of the internal policies/procedures manual including monitoring was recently provided to HUD. In short, Cook County will perform a desk review of each multifamily property to review Tenant Income Certifications, perform an onsite inspection, as well as a full financial review with a full risk assessment (using our new Risk Assessment Tool). Any single family homes that are renovated or constructed, will have an annual letter certifying occupancy. Any infrastructure improvements or facility improvements will be monitored for change in use and all agencies funded (subrecipients and developers) will be monitored by program and finance staff and also have a full financial audit each year.

The County currently conforms to all A-133 single financial audit requirements. Please see the Financial Proficiency documents previously submitted to HUD, for details.

Additionally, the Department of Planning and Development within the Bureau of Economic Development is subject to audits by the Office of the County Auditor (OCA), which has a mission to provide independent and objective assurance and consulting services designed to add value and improve the County's operations while promoting transparency and accountability in government. The OCA assists the County in accomplishing its objectives by bringing a systematic, disciplined approach to evaluate and improve the effectiveness of countywide risk management, control, and governance processes.

The OCA operates in accordance with the County Ordinance, Chapter 2, Article IV, Division 6, Auditor, Sec. 2-311, which grants the OCA the authority to conduct financial, management and performance audits of all Cook County departments, offices, boards, activities, agencies and programs and in any government entity that is funded in whole or in part by the County pursuant to the County's annual appropriation bill. The OCA reports directly to the President and Board of Commissioners of Cook County. An organizational diagram showing that responsible audit staff report independently to the President of the County Board and the County Board's Audit Committee will be submitted to HUD as part of the County's Financial Proficiency documents.

11. Procedures to Detect & Prevent, Fraud, Abuse & Mismanagement

Paragraph 10 above describes the monitoring mechanism that will be used to detect fraud, abuse and mismanagement. We will also involve the Office of the County Auditor as needed. (Information about the functions of the County Auditor was provided in the Financial Proficiency documents previously submitted to HUD.) Additionally, Cook County has adopted an Ethics Ordinance that provides direction for ethical conduct of the President, County Cook Commissioners, and all County employees that are to be followed in conducting the business of Cook County. This Ordinance contains conflict of interest provisions and can be viewed on the County website at www.cookcountyil.gov. Cook County staff must go through an annual training on the Ethics ordinance and is responsible to report suspected fraud, waste and/or abuse to the County Office of Inspector General. Additionally, the County will comply with all HUD conflict of interest provisions.

12. Capacity

Activities funded by this grant will be carried out by County staff or by subrecipients, contractors or developers under the supervision of County staff. DPD currently administers the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), HOME Investment Partnerships Program grant (HOME), Emergency Solutions Grant, and Neighborhood Stabilization Program. Cook County is timely in its obligation of funds, expenditures, project completion, and reporting. Under its CDBG program, Cook County has implemented over 130 capital improvement projects over the past three years and has significant experience in this area. These programs are audited each year by an external auditor. The County's CDBG and HOME programs have been monitored by HUD in the last three years. The County successfully administered both the CDBG-R program and the Homelessness Prevention and Rapid Re-housing (HPRP) Program. It is anticipated that at least \$55 million will be expended by September 1, 2018, with the expenditure of the remaining \$13.8 million to be completed by August 1, 2019.

13. Description of Programs/Activities

A general description of programs and activities appears in the below Activity Summary Table. Cook County intends to carry out all of the programs and activities through sub-recipients or developers, with the possible exception of the planning activity.

CATEGORY	TOTAL Allocation	March 2014 Allocation	September 2014 Allocation	May 2015 Allocation
Strategic Acquisition	\$15,000,000	\$4,500,000	\$10,500,000	\$0
Rehabilitation/ Remediation	\$12,000,000	\$2,205,000	\$4,395,000	\$5,400,000
Infrastructure	\$28,936,000	\$2,000,000	\$22,260,000	\$4,676,000
Replacement Housing	\$15,000,000	\$2,500,000	\$10,500,000	\$2,000,000
Tenant Based Rental Program	\$1,500,000	\$1,000,000	\$500,000	\$0
Economic Development	\$2,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$2,000,000
Planning	\$5,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$4,000,000	\$0
Administration	\$4,180,000	\$695,000	\$2,745,000	\$740,000
TOTAL	\$83,616,000	\$13,900,000	\$54,900,000	\$14,816,000

Cook County residents and business owners will access the Strategic Acquisition Program through applications already submitted to IEMA and/or via a program mechanism to be outlined by the County. Cook County will work with local jurisdictions and/or other County agencies (e.g. the Forest Preserve District of Cook County) on the acquisition/disposition of the subject properties.

The Rehabilitation/Remediation program will provide small amounts in the form of a forgivable loan to existing homeowners to deal with issues that remain unresolved from the floods.

The Infrastructure Program will be used to fund strategic infrastructure projects the County and its partners have identified to address flood impacts or mitigate future flooding.

Replacement Housing will be identified as part of IHDA's 2014 and future funding rounds, where the housing can be identified as replacement housing for vulnerable populations.

The Tenant-Based Rental Assistance program will be administered by The Housing Authority of Cook County along with qualified subrecipients who will provide services. The Program will provide shallow subsidies on a short term basis along and vouchers needing the subsidy for a longer term to low-income households who are in need do issues around flooding and wishing to live in opportunity areas to be close to work, family or community and are priced out of the rental market due to high demand.

Economic development needs identified more recently were described in Section 2 above, and the County is exploring how to best support these needs. Planning dollars will be used to fund studies in municipalities and/or unincorporated areas of Cook County to determine what projects/efforts would help address current flooding problems. Other efforts as noted in Section 2 above will also be supported via the planning allocation.

14. Basis for Allocation:

The needs assessment has informed the allocation determinations in that two high priority needs were identified: housing and infrastructure. The infrastructure funding that has been set aside will be used as a local match to work with other agencies and/or to support smaller projects that the other agencies are not undertaking. Economic development activities have been identified as a lower priority and has received a smaller allocation under this Substantial Amendment.

15. Unmet Needs not yet Addressed:

The unmet need in Cook County is huge and growing. USACE is planning to go to Congress later this year to seek the \$400 million that was identified as needed within their study. Again, these funds will be used in part to support areas where they have identified for local and state government to assist in all that needs to be done. Cook County will look to supplement rather than duplicate these efforts with CDBG-DR funds. Given the scope of the need and the size of the related eligible geography the County recognizes that its CDBG-DR funding will only partially address unmet need. However, the County is hopeful that effective utilization of this funding will set the stage for other internal and external efforts.

Toward that end, Cook County has now signed an Intergovernmental Agreement with MWRD, who was granted the authority by Cook County to address storm water management. MWRD has done a full water shed management study of the County and is now working with each individual municipality to identify areas of overland flooding. Cook County will be looking to partner with MWRD to fund studies

in communities that may not have the wherewithal to work with MWRD and may need additional outside help. The Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP) may also be engaged to help with preparing either Comprehensive Plans or other plans around flooding issues for municipalities with capacity limitations.

16. Program/Activities Details:

Activity	Description	Total Budget
Administration	Administration of the Grant Fund over the five year period of the grant.	\$4,180,000
Eligibility: Cook		
County is allowed to	Location/geographic description: N/A	
use up to 5% of the		
Grant for	Responsible Organization: DPD	
Administration	Doubours on Management	
Services.	Performance Measures:	
National Objective: N/A	Timely and compliant administration of the grant; timely expenditures; timely reporting.	
Projected Start Date:		
6/1/14		
Projected End Date: 9/29/19		
Planning	Will be used for Planning Studies of smaller	\$5,000,000
	geographies and an array of planning activities as	
Eligibility: Cook	detailed above.	
County is allowed to use up to 15% of the	Location/geographic description: TBD	
Grant for Planning	Location/geographic description. TBD	
Activities	Responsible Organization: DPD/Potential	
7.00.776.00	Subrecipient(s)	
National Objective:		
N/A	Performance Measures:	
	Completion of studies and identification of	
Project Start Date: 9/1/14	projects needed to assist the identified geography.	
Projected End Date: TBD		
Strategic Acquisition	This activity will work in conjunction with local	\$15,000,000
	municipalities to undertake voluntary buy-out of	(funding
Eligibility: Repetitive	owner occupied houses or other properties	threshold
loss properties	impacted by the flood event, with a focus in low-	per property
National Objectives	mod areas or owned by low-mod	will be determined on
National Objective: All three are possible	households which meet the Cook County buy-out criteria: structures not protected by capital	a case-by-case
All tillee are possible	improvements; projects in approved watershed	basis)
Projected start date:	plans; structures subject to flooding depth of one	

6/1/2014 Projected end date:	foot above the low entry elevation for any one historic event; subject to a flooding depth of one-	
9/29/2019	half foot for any two historic flood events; or a	
	FEMA repetitive loss structure.	
	'	
	Buy-out is the only alternative in many flood prone	
	areas of the County where there is no permanent	
	infrastructure solution to the flooding. This will	
	result in long-term recovery for these households.	
	The buyouts will be performed in conjunction with	
	the Cook County Forest Preserve District, local	
	park districts, or other partners, allowing the land	
	to be returned to open land for easier flow of	
	waterways.	
	Location/geographic description: To be	
	determined – see needs assessment.	
	Responsible Organization: Cook County DPD,	
	IEMA, MWRD, Cook County Forest Preserve	
	District, municipal park districts	
	Performance measures will be: # of units	
	(approximately 60 anticipated)	
	Outreach to impacted areas to determine if low-	
	income residents need assistance with	
Rehabilitation/	rehabilitation and/or remediation occurring as a	
Remediation	result of the flood event. This will result in long-	
	term recovery for these households.	
Eligibility: Housing		
with an unmet need	Location/geographic description: Cook	
National Objective:	County, beginning with outreach to most affected neighborhoods identified in this Action	
Low/Mod Housing	Plan	
Low/ Wida Housing		
Projected Start Date:	Responsible Organization: Neighborhood Housing	
6/1/2014	Services (South) and Northwest Housing	
Projected End Date:	Partnership (north)- Nonprofit Subrecipients will	
9/29/2019	administer the program.	
	Performance measures will be: # of units (250	
	anticipated)	\$12,000,000
Replacement Housing	Looking at several rental developments that will	
Elizabetha a December	target seniors and special needs populations, as	
Eligibility: Housing	well as some new construction single family homes	
National Objective:	nomes	\$15,000,000
	1	

Low/Mod Housing or	Location: areas near heavily impacted areas, but	
Limited Clientele	not in flood plains.	
Projected Start Date:	Despensible Organizations, Non Drofit or For Drofit	
	Responsible Organizations: Non-Profit or For Profit	
6/1/2014	Developers – mostly CHDO's.	
Projected End Date:		
9/29/2019	Performance measures will be: # of units (80	
' '	anticipated)	
Infrastructure	There are a number of infrastructure projects	
Ingrastracture	· ·	
	under consideration. Conversations are ongoing	
Eligibility: Needed	with MWRD, IEMA, USACE and local	
infrastructure	municipalities.	
improvements that		
address flooding	Location: TBD – see needs assessment	
dadress needing	Leocation: 188 See fice as assessment	
National Objective	Deen ensible Organization: Cash Carrett in	
National Objective:	Responsible Organization: Cook County in	
Low/Mod Area	coordination with units of local government	
Benefit, Low/Mod		
Jobs, Urgent Need	Performance Measures: 10 - 20 infrastructure	
, 3	projects supported.	
Projected Start Date:		
6/1/2014		
Projected End Date:		
9/29/2019		\$28,936,000
Economic	Looking to assist up to five businesses and support	
Development	workforce development efforts in the	
	implementation and maintenance of green	
Eligibility: Working	infrastructure and other flood related tasks	
	initiastructure and other nood related tasks	
Capital for businesses		
who were either	Location: TBD	
closed due to		
flooding or can show	Responsible Organization: Cook County Bureau of	
structural damage to	Economic Development.	
buildings; workforce	Performance Measures: up to five loans to	
development efforts	businesses to be repaid; employ up to 20 local	
development enorts		
Notice of Other	residents via workforce efforts	
National Objective:		
All three are possible		
Project Start Date:		
5/1/2015		
Project End Date:		
		\$2,000,000
9/29/2019	Partition of the control of the cont	\$2,000,000
Tenant-Based Rental	Rental demand in opportunity areas (areas of high	
Assistance	employment) of the County has risen over the past	
	five years, and flooding has exacerbated the	
Eligibility: Housing	problem, by reducing the supply of rental homes	
	available. Families and individuals also suffered	\$1,500,000
1	The state of the s	T =,300,000

National Objective:	from illness, not allowing them to work for a	
Low/Mod Housing	period of time, others were permanently	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	displaced, due to the flooding. A tenant-based	
Projected Start Date: 6/1/2014 Projected End Date: 9/29/2019	rental assistance program would assist households with short term emergency needs (up to three months of rent) and those families who were displaced up with services and a Housing Choice Voucher	
	Location: High Opportunity Areas	
	Responsible Organization: Designated "banker" and fulfill a similar role for the North/Northwest suburbs under the Continuum of Care structure. Connections for the Homeless	
	Performance Measures: # of households assisted (150 households)	

17. Environmental:

Due to the nature and design of this program, these actions are subject to a HUD-required environmental review. DPD assumes responsibility for environmental reviews at the appropriate level.

18. Fair Housing:

Cook County has a current Analysis of Impediments (AI) to Fair Housing, which was submitted to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development's Office of Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity and Office of Community Planning and Development in 2012. A new Consolidated Plan is currently under development for 2015-2019 and will include updates as applicable for fair housing impediments and proposed actions to affirmatively further fair housing. It will be submitted to HUD in advance of August 2015.

19. Duplication of Benefits:

Cook County DPD will administer the CDBG-DR funding with a focus on preventing the duplication of benefits. For the purposes of this program, duplication of benefits is taken to indicate a situation where a beneficiary receives more disaster assistance than the amount of loss, or receives benefits for a loss already covered by other sources. Disaster assistance includes private and public sources such as donations, insurance proceeds, volunteer work and grants. The focus on preventing duplication of benefits is meant to guard against fraud and ineligible use of taxpayer funding, and to ensure federal government funding is the last source of recovery funding made available.

Assistance is considered duplicative when two sources exceed the need for the same recovery item. Assistance is not duplicative when two sources contribute to the same need and total assistance does not exceed the total need. Difference sources of assistance can be combined to meet recovery needs as long as the assistance is not duplicative.

In administering the CDBG-DR funding, DPD will establish a process to work with federal, state and local sources of disaster assistance to verify any assistance applied for and/or received by beneficiaries. DPD will work with all potential beneficiaries to determine the level of unmet need prior to providing any assistance. DPD will require all beneficiaries to:

- Report all assistance sought or received including insurance, loans and grants
- Sign an affidavit certifying that all assistance has been reported
- Allow DPD to check for sources of disaster assistance
- Sign a subrogation agreement, to be used if a duplication of benefits is determined

The Project Management function within the Housing and Community Development divisions of DPD, in conjunction with any sub recipients funded for this purpose, will be primarily responsible for gathering and verifying the above information. Any issues identified will be escalated to the Program Managers for review and final determination.

The County is already working with FEMA/IEMA and MWRD to ensure that funds are supportive of their related efforts and not duplicative. Cook County has administered flood assistance to individual homeowners through other Federal funds in the past and will follow a similar program model.

For the replacement housing, a full subsidy layering analysis will be performed as part of the underwriting.

For tenant-based rental assistance, households will need to show some direct cause of need to flooding and share the last two years of tax returns, as well as any other financial documents to show that they qualify for funding.

20. Procurement:

Cook County has its own procurement code, which will guide the procurements needed for this grant as applicable. However, most of the solicitation of sub-recipients is not subject to the Procurement Code. Cook County DPD has administered hundreds of CDBG projects via sub recipients in recent years and requires all sub recipients to adhere to HUD procurement standards. Sub recipients will be identified to assist with the Rehabilitation/Renovation program. The County will work with the Housing Authority of Cook County to implement the Tenant-Based Rental Assistance. As for replacement housing, Cook County will review proposals that are simultaneously sent to IHDA as part of their Qualified Allocation Plan and will review them based on the following Housing Prioritization criteria based on the HOME Program.

Cook County Housing Prioritization Criteria

In general, entities submitting for housing funding and those receiving housing funding will be reviewed, evaluated and monitored based on the following guidelines:

1. Complete Application - This comprehensive request should include all documents requested on the Housing Checklist. Those documents not available should be indicated with a full and detailed explanation. Any missing or non-submitted documents may cause a delay or denial of request of housing funds.

- 2. Qualified Development Team The applicant must include and identify information about the persons or organizations that will be responsible for carrying out all aspects of the proposed project. This includes, but is not limited to the following areas: (a) Housing, (b) financing, (c) construction, architectural design and management, (d) management of housing, including marketing, leasing and assets, and (e) legal team.
- 3. Past Performance The County will consider the past performance of developers that will include, but is not limited to: the ability to successfully structure and close a project, timeliness of completing a project, management practices other housing facilities and payment history on the servicing of their loans.
- 4. Underwriting Analysis The applicant must provide a detailed development cost breakdown which includes all acquisition, construction, soft costs, and fees. A full operating budget must also be submitted documenting an economically feasible project after payment of expenses and debt. A subsidy layering review is conducted to determine the appropriate level of housing subsidy. This review is summarized in the Department's Staff Report presented to the County Board of Commissioners. All other financing components of the transaction must be identified at the time of request, including the most reasonable loan amounts, interest rate and terms. A final financial review is performed prior to loan closing to ensure that the project is still economically feasible. The project's analysis of the operating budget or pro-forma must show that there is adequate cash flow to meet all debt service requirements (by all lenders) and provide for adequate reserves throughout the affordability period. A capital needs assessment is required for all projects with 26 or more total units. A market analysis is required for new construction and new single family housing projects which will indicate a favorable market for the proposal.
- 5. Risk Management Generally, the property is used as security for the housing funds provided to a development. The County is generally in a second mortgage position behind the primary lender, but will evaluate request for modifications to this request.
- 6. Compliance Monitoring Where HUD required, the County will require annual rental compliance monitoring throughout the affordability period. The owner remains responsible throughout the affordability period to continue to comply with all federal regulations applicable to the project, including but not limited to: 1) maintaining the appropriate rent levels, 2) income qualifying the household, 3) maintaining the appropriate number of assisted units as required by federal regulations, 4) collecting all necessary documentation in a timely manner and forwarding it to the County, and 5) ensuring an adequately maintained facility.
- 7. Asset Monitoring The County also reserves the right to perform asset monitoring to insure continued viability of a project. This includes, but is not limited to, the review of financial statements, records retained at the management office related to operations, insurance documentation, affirmative fair marketing and other pertinent documents. This review must be conducted annually for projects with 10 or more assisted units

21. Waivers:

Cook County intends to utilize the waivers and alternative requirements applicable to this appropriation published by HUD in the Notices. Cook County will be requesting the following waiver to existing regulations in a separate submission to HUD:

Cook County requests a waiver of 42 U.S.C. 5305(a) in order to provide tenant-based rental
assistance to households for a period of up to two years impacted by disasters eligible under the
Appropriations Act. Cook County further reserves the ability to request additional waivers from
the Secretary of HUD, if and as necessary.

22. Substantial Amendments:

At a minimum, the following modifications will constitute a substantial amendment: a change in the program benefit or eligibility criteria; the allocation or reallocation of more than \$5 million; or the addition of any activity. Cook County may substantially amend the Action Plan by following the same procedures required for the preparation and submission of the initial Action Plan. Amendments to the Action Plan that do not meet the threshold to be considered a substantial amendment will not require a public comment period, but all amendments will be numbered sequentially and posted on the disaster recovery website.

23. Pre- Agreement Costs:

Pre-Agreement costs of this grant include planning costs, preparation of the required Certification of Controls, Processes and Procedures and accompanying documents, conducting the needs assessment, data analysis, and preparation of this Action Plan.

24. Public Comments:

Please note – no public comments were received.

APPENDIX

- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Report
 - http://www.lrc.usace.army.mil/Missions/CivilWorksProjects/DesPlainesRiverPhaseII.aspx
- Center for Neighborhood Technology (CNT) Report
 - o http://www.cnt.org/media/CNT PrevalenceAndCostOfUrbanFlooding.pdf
- Cook County CDBG-DR Survey Forms
- Cook County CDBG-DR Updated Survey Results Summaries
- Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Housing Assistance Data
- Metropolitan Water Reclamation District (MWRD) Stormwater Management Phase II Projects
- Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Public Assistance Data
- Consent Decree Information
 - https://www2.illinois.gov/hfs/PublicInvolvement/Colbert%20v%20Quinn/Pages/default.asp
 x
 - o http://www.dhs.state.il.us/page.aspx?item=51834
- Cook County CDBG-DR Public Notice http://blog.cookcountyil.gov/economicdevelopment/cdbg-dr/



Survey of Municipal Needs from Floods April 16^{th} through May 5^{th} , 2013

Cook County is receiving a grant from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) to help with the recovery efforts from the storms and flooding that occurred from April 16th through May İS ou

5 th , 2013. The Cook County Department of Planning and Development will be administering the grant and is conducting a needs assessment with your assistance. Please take a few moments to complete this survey and return the completed survey to planning.nsp1@cookcountyil.gov by March 6 th , 2014. If you have questions, please call Jane Hornstein at (312) 603-1009.
How many homes in your municipality were affected by flooding during the April 16 th through May 5 th , 2013 floods? Please indicate the impacted area(s) of your municipality. Provide the affected census tract(s), if possible. Photos and/or maps are welcome.
What infrastructure was impacted (e.g., roads flooded, failure of storm drainage or sanitary systems)?
What businesses were affected by the flood and is there an estimate of damage?
What assistance was available to homeowners and businesses in your jurisdiction?
Deleted to the chore immedia one there are immediments to recovery an unmed needs that still exist?

Related to the above impacts, are there any impediments to recovery or unmet needs that still exist?

Are you participating in a home buy-out program related to recurring flooding? If so, please also indicate any matching funds that you may need.
Are you undertaking flood mitigation efforts or other resiliency measures that need resources?

Name of municipality	How many homes affected?	Infrastructure affected?	Businesses affected?	What other assistance has been utilized?	Match needed for buy-outs	Funds needed for other mitigation programs.
				City made water pumps available,	Continued	Looking to expand on the
		Sewer system reached capacity, which		scheduled special trash collections,	maintenance on	installation of ground
		caused sewer line failures and back ups	3 public schools reported	-	aging sewer	detention through partnerships
City of Berwyn	87	into many homes	damage	has sewer line insurance program.	systems.	with local Park District.
City of Burbank	200	Roadways flooded	Ü			Need to expand Melvina Ditch Reservoir (MDR) or the tributary Bedford Park Reservoir (BPR) . Working with MWRD
						funding needed for sediment
						removal, energy dissipater,
			Estimated damage			drainage tile, fencing,
			approximately \$6			landscaping, rain gardens, etc.,
			million. Properties			the City seeks to establish a
		Decreased storage capacity of Yates Ave	located between Sibley			Geographic Information
		Storm Water Detention Basin. There are	Blvd to the south, State			System (GIS) and acquire public
		issues associated with the inlet sewer	Street to the north, State			safety equipment that would
		and vertical turbine pumps becoming	Line Road to the east,			help public safety programs
		clogged by debris within the detention	and 1-94 to the west			designed to mitigate disaster
		basin due to the excessive sediment	were severely impacted	FEMA assistance was available to		that cause direct danger to
City of Calumet City	500	build up over time.	with the most damage	some degree.	No	human life.
		39 roads were affected, 8 were closed				
		less than 12 hours, 31 had some level of	•			
	45	standing water, but passable to	water in their parking	Village Engineering and Public	l	l
City of Schaumburg Riverside Lawn (part of	15	vehicular traffic.	lots.	Works assisted.	No	NA
Unincorporated Riverside						
Township)	40	0	0	The Township and FEMA	No	Needs a berm.
Township)	40	The basement of Thornton Township's		The rownship and reliving	110	Needs a berni.
		facility located at 14323 S. Halsted was				
		flooded due to failure of drainage				
		system causing damage to documents		Sent a crew to assist with clean up		
	approximately	and some computer equipment that		for Seniors and Disabled, as well as		Need assistance with Mold and
Thonton Township	700 - 800 homes	were stored on the lower level.	NA	clean up kits. Also FEMA	no	Mildew clean up in homes.
						Upgrade Strom/Sewer water
Town of Cicero	Many	Road , Sewer and Water mains	NA	Town only.	no	infrastructure

Unincorporated Maine				Clean up kits, Temp housing for 3	Possibly may need matching funds for	Looking at a barrier and
Township	715	90% of all roadways were flooded.	54 Businesses	days, if needed.	buyouts.	possible retention area.
					Working with	
Village of Barrington		N/A	N/A	No	FEMA	Rain Gardens
Village of Bartlett	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
						Looking at detention areas,
						adjusting the timing of drain
						down, green infratructure,
		Streets flooded; pumping stations				sewer conveyance
		overflowing - thus flooding all the	Businesses in the area			improvements, pumping
		homes. Failed Sanitary lift station and	sustained approx.	Emergency shelter and clean up was		station improvements and rail
Village of Bellwood	2500	sanitary sewer laterals backed up.	\$350,000 in damages	assisted by village	No	barrel program.
						\$2 million proposal to MWRD
						to build a pump station and
				Village provided sand bags; most		purchase properties in the area
		Several roadways were flooded and	Private Insurance	relied on homeowners insurance,	Need at least \$1	of the Salt Creek levee. May
Village of Brookfield	700	impassable for at least 4 days.	covered these costs.	some got aid from IEMA	million	need match.
Village of Crestwood	175	Sanitary sewers experienced increased infiltration and inflow. Storm sewer systems were overburdened in the subdivision by Central Avenue and 135th Street. The intersection of Midlothian Turnpike and LaVergne Avenue was flooded, and there was some flooding along Tinley Creek in the Northwest section of the community.	Minor damages to businesses along 135th Street	Village Services	no	working with the MWRDGC The Village isputting together engineering plans to mitigate drainage concerns on Midlothian Turnpike and the subdivision near 135th Street and Central Avenue. There is a need for funding to address additional concerns as homes that regularly experience flooding, work beyond MWRD improvements to Tinley Creek, and routine maintenance of Laramie Creek. The need for additional detention basins is being examined.
Village of Flossmore	12	The Flossmoor Road viaduct located at the CN and Metra railway was flooded with several feet of water, closing the road to traffic.	The Civic Center flooded	Village askd Cook County for individual flooding relief	no	a relief sewer solution that has a preliminary estimate of \$2.7 million. Brookwood Bridge and Butterfield Road culvert will improve structure and flood resiliency in the project area estimated cost of \$1.2 million.

Village of Forest View		All roads flooded between 2.5 ft and 4 ft.; municipal facilities also sustain extensive flooding	Extensive damage to businesses along Harlem Ave.	FEMA; SBA loans; insufficient insurance.	Many homeowners abandoned their properties and several have gone into foreclosure.	MWRD is looking to repair a levee that was breeched; looking into renting Jersey Barriers (\$23,000) also preparing Sandbags; calibration of Lyons Levee gauge for better notification.
Village of Franklin Park		approximately \$473,00 in damages to infrastructure	approximately 10 businesses sustained damage.	FEMA	Would like to participate. Not currently	Needs approximately \$3,260,000 for channel Improvement along Silver Creek
Village of Harwood Heights		Several roadways were flooded and impassable due to insufficient drainage.	Loss of Revenues due to closures. Any actual loss was born by private	FEMA Assistance covered structural damage - no way to recover personal property damage.	No	Looking to install underground storage to alleviate flooding.
Village of Hinsdale	10	0		Some Village Assistance/Private Ins.		No
		Road Closures - due to over capacity		Public Works (still has a \$17,000 gap		
Village of Homewood	52	storm sewers	N/A	in budget)	No	No

						Village's combined sewer
		Roadways, sewer system, both sanitary		Area did not meet the minimum		system is undersized. Need
Village of La Grange	36	and drainage were flooded.	N/A	FEMA reimbursement criteria	No	funding to expand.
					Attempting to	
					purchase	
					foreclosed	
					homes in flood	Participating in Cook County
Village of Lansing	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	zone.	Hazard Mitigation Plan
	-	,				Village conducted a
						stormwater management study
						which concluded that the
						Village needs to utilize a
						combination of street storage
						along with stormwater
						conveyance and detention
				Village staff worked to clear roads		projects to store the additional
		Combined sewers were at capacity and		and clogged inlet covers, and helped		water that the system cannot
Village of Lincolnwood	575	surcharging & roads flooded.	unknown	pump water out of basements	No	manage.
						North Ave. Pumping Station,
		North Ave. Pumping Station flooded &				Flood fighting equipment;
		Damaged; many roads closed due to		Some Individual assistance through	working to set	Stream monitoring equipment
Village of Melrose Park		flooding.	N/A	FEMA and Village	one up	Streambank Stabilization.
						MWRD is doing work on
			2 restaurants and a			Natalie Creek, don't know if
		Storm drainage was overwhelmed and	sports bar were closed		\$375,000 thru	they need Match for this as
Village of Midlothian	150	Sanitary Sewers surcharged.	for a day due to flooding.	FEMA Assistance	FEMA/IEMA	well.

			l			
				The Village of Niles has a Flood		
			Six businesses were	Control Assistance Program. Since it		
			damaged. Total cost is	began two years ago, over \$1.2M		
			unknown, but it is known	was spent supporting a 50/50		
		Flooded roads, homes, combined	that one business had	program for flood control systems		The Village has as many as
			over \$500,000 in	(up to \$4,000) and over land		two more tiers of projects that
Village of Niles	700	sewers, sanitary sewers and strom	·			could easily cost \$20M more.
Village of Niles	780	sewers The flooding was primarily located	damage.	flooding protection (up to \$,4000).	no	28 storm water improvement
						· ·
		between Waukegan Road to the east,		50/50		projects that the Village has
		Landwehr Road to the west, Dundee		50/50 overhead sewer conversion		identified and are in various
		Road to the North, and Techny Road to		and drainage improvement		stages of construction or
Village of Northbrook	unknown	the south.	unknown	programs.	No	completion.
						Mana Burranta and there
					Have purchased	More Buyouts and then
					Homes and have	grading improvements on
						properties along Addison
Village of Northlake	100-125	Flooded Roadways throughout town	None	FEMA Individual Assistance	like to purchase.	Creek.
						looking to do a number of
						sewer pipe improvement
						projects to increase the
						capacity of combined sewer
						system; the installation of inlet
				Village collected refuse at no		restrictors; the continued
				charge. Also offered Sewer Backup		funding of the sewer backup
				Protection Grant up to 50% or		protection grant program; and
		Roadways were flooded, combined		\$3500 to homeowners for		the installation of green
		sewer system surcharged which		installation of overhead sewers or		infrastructure to reduce the
Village of Oak Park	69	resulted in basement backups.	No Complaints	back flow prevention devices.	No	amount of impervious area.
		Roads affected were Parthenon				
		Way/Attica Road, 207th/Sparta Way,				
		203rd Street across for the Hospital and				
		Graymoor Lane in front of 27 Graymoor		Village monitored storm drains and		
Village of Olympia Fields	0	Lane.	0	catch basins.	No	Not at this time.
						Remove/Replace Hillcrest lake
						outflow pipe, Pipe the Alton
					Yes -	Road Ditch 2 Pipe the
			Prospect Crossing &	Deployment of 2 - 3 inch pumps and	FEMA/MWRD	Wildwood Dr S. Ditch; pipe the
Village of Prospect Heights	3	Multiple Road closures	Prospect Plaza closed	1 -6 inch pump	for 2 homes	Drake terrace Ditch.
		St. Rt 59 N of Penny Rd; Bartlett Rd. S of		Barricades and Emergency Vehicles		
		Higgins; Witt Rd N of Mundhank Rd;		warnings on roads inundated with		Would like to study water flow
Village of S. Barrington	3	Star Lane E of Mundhank Rd.	N/A	water.	No	in areas flooded.

Village of Schiller Park	170 homes plus several smaller apartment buildings.	Sanitary sewer system backed up, intersection of River Rd and Irving Park and also Lawrence Ave east of River Road.	Rent.com was forced to sandbag.	FEMA		Further investment in sewer check valves for residents Crystal Creek flood control project which aims to control flooding along the creek that flows into the Des Plaines River.
Village of Schiller Fark	bullulligs.	Vast areas of the community	Janubag.	I LIVIA	140	Miver.
		experienced road flooding over curbs,				
		with viaducts closed to traffic. The				Engineering activities toward
		community's storm water detention				repair of the Gabion Pond -
		areas filled to capacity. When the				\$50,000. Engineering for
		Village's gabion pond detention area				combined - sewer system
		drained a portion of the structure				improvements - \$50,000
		collapsed. Basement flooding was				sewer and \$20,000 flood.
		caused by the municipal sewer system	Businesses were closed			Efforts to analyze and mitigate
		reaching capacity and homes lacking	and lost revenue as a			specific individual flood control
) (III) (III)	054	backwater or flood control utilities or	result. Extent of damage	FFAAA ladiidaal baayaaa		systems and overland flooding -
Village of Skokie	954	the installed system failed.	not known.	FEMA; Individual Insurance The Village provided an emergency	no	\$55,000.
				shelter, sandbags, emergency		
		Virtually all Village roadways were		assistance directing motorists,		
		impacted caused by insufficient storm		rescue of stranded motorists,		
		drainage. The two primary intersections		continued monitoring of Mayfair		
		that prevented access to the Village due		reservoir, assistance in evacuation		
		to flooding were the intersections of		procedures at a local nursing home.		
		Mannheim/Cermak Roads and		In the six weeks after the flood		Mayfair Reservoir Expansion
		Mannheim/Roosevelt Roads. Access		event, the Village incurred		Project", to the Metropolitan
		was also compromised by flooding at		approximately \$75,000 in disposal		Water Reclamation District
		Wolf/Mannheim Roads in Hillside,		costs to provide dumpsters for		(MWRD) for consideration of
		Wolf/Cermak in Hillside and		debris removal to affected		an intergovernmental
		Cermak/25th Ave in Broadview. Both	There were 10 non-	residents. The Village incurred costs		agreement to assist in
		Addison Creek in the northeast section	residential properties	of approximately \$47,000 in		expanding the reservoir's
		of town and the Salt Creek in the	affected by the flood.	emergency protective measures. We		retention capacity by
		southwest section of town went over	Most of them are	received intergovernmental		approximately 40% and to
		their banks causing riverine flooding.	located in the industrial	assistance with the Cook County		install a parallel relief storm
		Also, water draining off of I-294 and I-	area south of Roosevelt	Department of Homeland Security		sewer along Carlisle Ave. The
	732 plus many	290 contributed to overland water in	Rd, west of Gardner and	and Emergency Operations for sand		estimated cost of the Project is
Village of Westchester	unreported	excess of storm drainage capacity.	North of the train tracks.	and sandbags.	no	\$2.5 million.
Village of Wheeling	46	Flooded Streets and backed up sewers	Several along Milwaukee	Evacuations, shelter establishment,	Damaged Mobile	Village is currently in
			Ave. Est. lost revenue	,, , ,		discussions with Cook County
			from closure <\$500,000.	water diversion, community-wide		DHS, IEMA & FEMA
				notification (verbal & print).		
	<u> </u>					

		The bridge at Willow Springs Road and				
		just north of Archer over the Des Plaines				
		River had to be shutdown due to	Businesses lost revenue -			
		unusually high waters on the river.	Speedway, Dunkin			
		Additionally two road crossings at/near	Donuts an office			Working with MWRD and
		Flagg Creek had to be shutdown due to	subdivision, Next Steps			County to identify area and
Village of Willow Springs	25	overtopping.	and Subway.	Village resources	No	solutions.
		Roads experienced severe flooding.				
		Sewer systems (both combined and				
		separate) were at capacity and				Yes - \$24 million in sewer
		surcharged causing flooding in streets				related improvements over the
		and basements. Park District Bldg. had				course of 3 years. Also Resident
Village of Wilmette	1530	wall and roof collapse due to flood.	NA	FEMA Assistance	No	assistance.



Survey of Housing Provider Needs from Floods April 16th through May 5th, 2013

Cook County is receiving a grant from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) to below with the recovery efforts from the storms and flooding that occurred from April 16th through May u

to help with the recovery efforts from the storms and flooding that occurred from April 16 th through May 5 th , 2013. The Cook County Department of Planning and Development will be administering the grant and is conducting a needs assessment with your assistance. Please take a few moments to complete this survey and return the completed survey to <u>planning.nsp1@cookcountyil.gov</u> by March 6 th , 2014. If you have questions, please call Jane Hornstein at (312) 603-1009.
Briefly describe the housing or services you provide and if any homes or clients were affected by flooding during the April 16 th through May 5 th , 2013 floods?
Describe the impacts you experienced from the flooding. Please note which projects are HUD-assisted or McKinney-Vento funded.
What assistance was available to your organization or the impacted individuals?
Related to the above impacts, are there any impediments to recovery or unmet needs that still exist?

Name of Provider	Type of Service Provided	Impact from Flooding	What assistance was available ?	What is the unmet need?
	Provides residential and day services			
	to developmentally disabled adults			
	and children. Residential services			
	provided include: Intermediate Care			
	Facilities (ICF and Community			
	Integrated Living Arrangements (CILA			
Clearbrook	group homes).	none	NA	NA
	Provides first months rent and			
	security deposits for those unable to		Funds from Catholic	
Catholic Charities	stay in their homes.	6+ clients	Charities USA.	NA
			Some emergency	
	Agency receives IDHS Prevention		funding and rental	Losses of wages and
	Funds for Suburban North Cook		assistance if	property that have
Connections for the Homeless	County.	20 clients	household qualifies.	not been replaced.
	Provide Community Integrated Living		·	
	Arrangements (CILAs) for 55			
Sertoma Centre	individuals	none	NA	NA
	Bridge the gap for Southland residents			
	in temporary crisis by providing food,			
Together We Cope	shelter, clothing and referrals.	10 clients	NA	NA

FEMA Individuals and Households Program - DR 4116 Housing Assistance (HA) Data

Damaged Address City	HA Eligible Applications	HA Approved Amount
BELLWOOD	1,359	\$3,945,338
CALUMET CITY	1,274	\$3,191,788
DOLTON	1,126	\$2,715,636
MAYWOOD	1,107	\$2,585,148
SOUTH HOLLAND	1,000	\$2,765,172
HARVEY	846	\$2,024,356
CHICAGO HEIGHTS	713	\$1,951,766
SKOKIE	613	\$1,428,752
RIVERDALE	599	\$1,354,267
PARK RIDGE	513	\$1,149,595
COUNTRY CLUB HILLS	485	\$1,526,255
MELROSE PARK	465	\$1,476,085
HAZEL CREST	443	\$1,237,027
BROADVIEW	439	\$968,459
DES PLAINES	398	\$1,155,378
WESTCHESTER	393	\$978,523
CALUMET PARK	385	\$1,021,609
NILES	351	\$765,027.38
MARKHAM	328	\$989,900
BROOKFIELD	327	\$1,045,014
CICERO	320	\$738,988.40
ELMWOOD PARK	307	\$608,810.04
MATTESON	304	\$748,146.86
LANSING	298	\$785,330.16
PARK FOREST	292	\$816,578.48
BERWYN	285	\$1,092,912.48
HILLSIDE	274	\$775,996
MORTON GROVE	237	\$690,103.87
RICHTON PARK	221	\$571,113.16
BERKELEY	218	\$642,719
HOMEWOOD	199	\$515,809.87
SAUK VILLAGE	196	\$572,115
GLENWOOD	195	\$609,417
EVANSTON	191	\$356,572.35
GLENVIEW	178	\$675,808.29
BLUE ISLAND	176	\$380,319.88
FOREST VIEW	172	\$1,955,338
LINCOLNWOOD	167	\$523,359.00
LYNWOOD	165	\$510,035.05
EVERGREEN PARK	152	\$281,661.95
RIVER GROVE	148	\$302,486.47
WILMETTE	128	\$426,920.84
FLOSSMOOR	123	\$411,236.08

RIVERSIDE 107 \$224,763.43 ROBBINS 106 \$329,675 SCHILLER PARK 100 \$207,661.27 OLYMPIA FIELDS 98 \$235,913.63 FOREST PARK 98 \$185,155.09 STONE PARK 91 \$289,884 NORRIDGE 87 \$155,693.49 OAK PARK 87 \$142,270.74 OAK LAWN 86 \$315,132.65 NORTHLAKE 76 \$270,244.14 PHOENIX 72 \$175,257 BURNHAM 68 \$186,806.38 LA GRANGE PARK 66 \$159,767.55 DIXMOOR 62 \$196,359.67 POSEN 61 \$128,018.35 MOUNT PROSPECT 57 \$168,554.37 FORD HEIGHTS 56 \$182,162 HARWOOD HEIGHTS 53 \$105,950.95 BURBANK 52 \$199,318.66 MIDLOTHIAN 50 \$119,738.00 NORTH RIVERSIDE 42 \$91,992.69 WHEELING 41 \$242,455.09 OAK FOREST 39 \$115,559.72 ALSIP 34 \$114,267.46 RIVER FOREST 32 \$71,283.20 SOUTH CHICAGO HEIGHTS 25 \$73,457.57 LA GRANGE 24 \$56,679.34 STEGER 22 \$39,074.64 STICKNEY 22 \$44,204.05 SUMMIT ARGO 22 \$40,497.52 ARLINGTON HEIGHTS 19 \$53,193.70 ORLAND PARK 19 \$54,783.60 CRESTWOOD 17 \$46,692.07 NORTHBROOK 17 \$50,897.66 SCHAUMBURG 16 \$46,950.10 CRESTWOOD 17 \$46,692.07 NORTHBROOK 17 \$50,897.66 SCHAUMBURG 16 \$46,950.10 THORNTON 16 \$45,116.38 BRIDGEVIEW 14 \$68,100.46 PALATINE 14 \$35,291.04 WESTERN SPRINGS 10 \$28,379.96 CHICAGO RIDGE 8 \$39,315.88 COUNTRYSIDE 8 \$20,818.17	ED ANIKUNI DA DIK	101	\$224 525 22
ROBBINS 106 \$329,675 SCHILLER PARK 100 \$207,661.27 OLYMPIA FIELDS 98 \$235,913.63 FOREST PARK 98 \$185,155.09 STONE PARK 91 \$289,884 NORRIDGE 87 \$155,693.49 OAK PARK 87 \$142,270.74 OAK LAWN 86 \$315,132.65 NORTHLAKE 76 \$2270,244.14 PHOENIX 72 \$175,257 BURNHAM 68 \$186,806.38 LA GRANGE PARK 66 \$159,767.56 DIXMOOR 62 \$196,359.67 POSEN 61 \$128,018.35 MOUNT PROSPECT 57 \$168,554.37 FORD HEIGHTS 53 \$105,950.95 BURBANK 52 \$199,318.66 MIDLOTHIAN 50 \$119,738.00 NORTH RIVERSIDE 42 \$91,992.69 WHEELING 41 \$242,455.09 OAK FOREST 39 \$115,559.72 ALSIP <	FRANKLIN PARK	121	\$231,505.99
SCHILLER PARK 100 \$207,661.27 OLYMPIA FIELDS 98 \$235,913.63 FOREST PARK 98 \$185,155.09 STONE PARK 91 \$289,884 NORRIDGE 87 \$155,693.49 OAK PARK 87 \$142,270.74 OAK LAWN 86 \$315,132.65 NORTHLAKE 76 \$2270,244.14 PHOENIX 72 \$175,257 BURNHAM 68 \$186,806.38 LA GRANGE PARK 66 \$159,767.55 DIXMOOR 62 \$196,359.67 POSEN 61 \$128,018.35 MOUNT PROSPECT 57 \$168,554.37 FORD HEIGHTS 53 \$105,950.95 BURBANK 52 \$199,318.66 MIDLOTHIAN 50 \$119,738.00 NORTH RIVERSIDE 42 \$91,992.69 WHEELING 41 \$242,455.09 OAK FOREST 39 \$115,559.72 ALSIP 34 \$114,267.46 VORTH PARK			
OLYMPIA FIELDS 98 \$235,913.63 FOREST PARK 98 \$185,155.09 STONE PARK 91 \$289,884 NORRIDGE 87 \$155,693.49 OAK PARK 87 \$142,270.74 OAK LAWN 86 \$315,132.65 NORTHLAKE 76 \$270,244.14 PHOENIX 72 \$175,257 BURNHAM 68 \$186,806.38 LA GRANGE PARK 66 \$159,767.55 DIXMOOR 62 \$196,359.67 POSEN 61 \$128,018.35 MOUNT PROSPECT 57 \$168,554.37 FORD HEIGHTS 56 \$182,162 HARWOOD HEIGHTS 53 \$105,950.95 BURBANK 52 \$199,318.66 MIDLOTHIAN 50 \$119,738.00 NORTH RIVERSIDE 42 \$91,992.69 WHEELING 41 \$242,455.09 OAK FOREST 39 \$115,559.72 ALSIP 34 \$114,267.46 TINLEY PARK			
FOREST PARK 98 \$185,155.09 STONE PARK 91 \$289,884 NORRIDGE 87 \$155,693.49 OAK PARK 87 \$142,270.74 OAK LAWN 86 \$315,132.65 NORTHLAKE 76 \$270,244.14 PHOENIX 72 \$175,257 BURNHAM 68 \$186,806.38 LA GRANGE PARK 66 \$159,767.55 DIXMOOR 62 \$196,359.67 POSEN 61 \$128,018.35 MOUNT PROSPECT 57 \$168,554.37 FORD HEIGHTS 56 \$182,162 HARWOOD HEIGHTS 53 \$105,950.95 BURBANK 52 \$199,318.66 MIDLOTHIAN 50 \$119,738.00 NORTH RIVERSIDE 42 \$91,992.69 WHEELING 41 \$242,455.09 OAK FOREST 39 \$115,559.72 ALSIP 34 \$114,267.46 TINLEY PARK 33 \$86,319.53 LYONS 32 \$148,329.54 RIVER FOREST 32 \$71,283.20 SOUTH CHICAGO HEIGHTS 19 \$53,193.70 CHICAGO HTS 19 \$53,193.70 ORLAND PARK 19 \$54,783.60 CRESTWOOD 17 \$46,692.07 NORTHBROOK 17 \$50,897.66 THORNTON 16 \$45,116.38 BRIDGEVIEW 14 \$68,100.46 PALATINE 14 \$35,291.04 WESTERN SPRINGS 10 \$228,379.96 CHICAGO RIDGE 8 \$39,315.88			·
STONE PARK 91 \$289,884 NORRIDGE 87 \$155,693.49 OAK PARK 87 \$142,270.74 OAK LAWN 86 \$315,132.65 NORTHLAKE 76 \$270,244.14 PHOENIX 72 \$175,257 BURNHAM 68 \$186,806.38 LA GRANGE PARK 66 \$159,767.55 DIXMOOR 62 \$196,359.67 POSEN 61 \$128,018.35 MOUNT PROSPECT 57 \$168,554.37 FORD HEIGHTS 56 \$182,162 HARWOOD HEIGHTS 53 \$105,950.95 BURBANK 52 \$199,318.66 MIDLOTHIAN 50 \$119,738.00 NORTH RIVERSIDE 42 \$91,992.69 WHEELING 41 \$242,455.09 OAK FOREST 39 \$115,559.72 ALSIP 34 \$114,267.46 TINLEY PARK 33 \$86,319.53 LYONS 32 \$148,329.54 RIVER FOREST 32<	OLYMPIA FIELDS	98	\$235,913.63
NORRIDGE 87 \$155,693.49 OAK PARK 87 \$142,270.74 OAK LAWN 86 \$315,132.65 NORTHLAKE 76 \$270,244.14 PHOENIX 72 \$175,257 BURNHAM 68 \$186,806.38 LA GRANGE PARK 66 \$159,767.55 DIXMOOR 62 \$196,359.67 POSEN 61 \$128,018.35 MOUNT PROSPECT 57 \$168,554.37 FORD HEIGHTS 56 \$182,162 HARWOOD HEIGHTS 53 \$105,950.95 BURBANK 52 \$199,318.66 MIDLOTHIAN 50 \$119,738.00 NORTH RIVERSIDE 42 \$91,992.69 WHEELING 41 \$242,455.09 OAK FOREST 39 \$115,559.72 ALSIP 34 \$114,267.46 TINLEY PARK 33 \$86,319.53 LYONS 32 \$148,329.54 RIVER FOREST 32 \$71,283.20 SOUTH CHICAGO HEIGHTS	FOREST PARK	98	\$185,155.09
OAK PARK 87 \$142,270.74 OAK LAWN 86 \$315,132.65 NORTHLAKE 76 \$270,244.14 PHOENIX 72 \$175,257 BURNHAM 68 \$186,806.38 LA GRANGE PARK 66 \$159,767.55 DIXMOOR 62 \$196,359.67 POSEN 61 \$128,018.35 MOUNT PROSPECT 57 \$168,554.37 FORD HEIGHTS 56 \$182,162 HARWOOD HEIGHTS 53 \$105,950.95 BURBANK 52 \$199,318.66 MIDLOTHIAN 50 \$119,738.00 NORTH RIVERSIDE 42 \$91,992.69 WHEELING 41 \$242,455.09 OAK FOREST 39 \$115,559.72 ALSIP 34 \$114,267.46 TINLEY PARK 33 \$86,319.53 LYONS 32 \$148,329.54 RIVER FOREST 32 \$71,283.20 SOUTH CHICAGO HEIGHTS 25 \$73,457.57 LA GRANGE	STONE PARK	91	\$289,884
OAK LAWN 86 \$315,132.65 NORTHLAKE 76 \$270,244.14 PHOENIX 72 \$175,257 BURNHAM 68 \$186,806.38 LA GRANGE PARK 66 \$159,767.55 DIXMOOR 62 \$196,359.67 POSEN 61 \$128,018.35 MOUNT PROSPECT 57 \$168,554.37 FORD HEIGHTS 56 \$182,162 HARWOOD HEIGHTS 53 \$105,950.95 BURBANK 52 \$199,318.66 MIDLOTHIAN 50 \$119,738.00 NORTH RIVERSIDE 42 \$91,992.69 WHEELING 41 \$242,455.09 OAK FOREST 39 \$115,559.72 ALSIP 34 \$114,267.46 TINLEY PARK 33 \$86,319.53 LYONS 32 \$148,329.54 RIVER FOREST 32 \$71,283.20 SOUTH CHICAGO HEIGHTS 25 \$73,457.57 LA GRANGE 24 \$56,679.34 STEGER	NORRIDGE	87	\$155,693.49
NORTHLAKE 76 \$270,244.14 PHOENIX 72 \$175,257 BURNHAM 68 \$186,806.38 LA GRANGE PARK 66 \$159,767.55 DIXMOOR 62 \$196,359.67 POSEN 61 \$128,018.35 MOUNT PROSPECT 57 \$168,554.37 FORD HEIGHTS 56 \$182,162 HARWOOD HEIGHTS 53 \$105,950.95 BURBANK 52 \$199,318.66 MIDLOTHIAN 50 \$119,738.00 NORTH RIVERSIDE 42 \$91,992.69 WHEELING 41 \$242,455.09 OAK FOREST 39 \$115,559.72 ALSIP 34 \$114,267.46 TINLEY PARK 33 \$86,319.53 LYONS 32 \$148,329.54 RIVER FOREST 32 \$71,283.20 SOUTH CHICAGO HEIGHTS 25 \$73,457.57 LA GRANGE 24 \$56,679.34 STEGER 22 \$39,074.64 STICKNEY	OAK PARK	87	\$142,270.74
PHOENIX 72 \$175,257 BURNHAM 68 \$186,806.38 LA GRANGE PARK 66 \$159,767.55 DIXMOOR 62 \$196,359.67 POSEN 61 \$128,018.35 MOUNT PROSPECT 57 \$168,554.37 FORD HEIGHTS 56 \$182,162 HARWOOD HEIGHTS 53 \$105,950.95 BURBANK 52 \$199,318.66 MIDLOTHIAN 50 \$119,738.00 NORTH RIVERSIDE 42 \$91,992.69 WHEELING 41 \$242,455.09 OAK FOREST 39 \$115,559.72 ALSIP 34 \$114,267.46 TINLEY PARK 33 \$86,319.53 LYONS 32 \$148,329.54 RIVER FOREST 32 \$71,283.20 SOUTH CHICAGO HEIGHTS 25 \$73,457.57 LA GRANGE 24 \$56,679.34 STEGER 22 \$39,074.64 STICKNEY 22 \$44,204.05 SUMMIT ARGO	OAK LAWN	86	\$315,132.65
BURNHAM 68 \$186,806.38 LA GRANGE PARK 66 \$159,767.55 DIXMOOR 62 \$196,359.67 POSEN 61 \$128,018.35 MOUNT PROSPECT 57 \$168,554.37 FORD HEIGHTS 56 \$182,162 HARWOOD HEIGHTS 53 \$105,950.95 BURBANK 52 \$199,318.66 MIDLOTHIAN 50 \$119,738.00 NORTH RIVERSIDE 42 \$91,992.69 WHEELING 41 \$242,455.09 OAK FOREST 39 \$115,559.72 ALSIP 34 \$114,267.46 TINLEY PARK 33 \$86,319.53 LYONS 32 \$148,329.54 RIVER FOREST 32 \$71,283.20 SOUTH CHICAGO HEIGHTS 25 \$73,457.57 LA GRANGE 24 \$56,679.34 STEGER 22 \$39,074.64 STICKNEY 22 \$44,204.05 SUMMIT ARGO 22 \$40,497.52 ARLINGTON HEIGHTS 19 \$53,193.70 ORLAND PARK 19 \$54,783.60 CRESTWOOD 17 \$46,692.07 NORTHBROOK 17 \$50,897.66 SCHAUMBURG 16 \$46,950.10 THORNTON 16 \$45,116.38 BRIDGEVIEW 14 \$68,100.46 PALATINE 14 \$33,291.04 SUMMIT 14 \$36,606.61 HANOVER PARK 11 \$39,503.92 WESTERN SPRINGS 10 \$28,379.96 CHICAGO RIDGE 8 \$33,315.88	NORTHLAKE	76	\$270,244.14
LA GRANGE PARK 66 \$159,767.55 DIXMOOR 62 \$196,359.67 POSEN 61 \$128,018.35 MOUNT PROSPECT 57 \$168,554.37 FORD HEIGHTS 56 \$182,162 HARWOOD HEIGHTS 53 \$105,950.95 BURBANK 52 \$199,318.66 MIDLOTHIAN 50 \$119,738.00 NORTH RIVERSIDE 42 \$91,992.69 WHEELING 41 \$242,455.09 OAK FOREST 39 \$115,559.72 ALSIP 34 \$114,267.46 TINLEY PARK 33 \$86,319.53 LYONS 32 \$148,329.54 RIVER FOREST 32 \$71,283.20 SOUTH CHICAGO HEIGHTS 25 \$73,457.57 LA GRANGE 24 \$56,679.34 STEGER 22 \$39,074.64 STICKNEY 22 \$44,204.05 SUMMIT ARGO 22 \$40,497.52 ARLINGTON HEIGHTS 19 \$53,193.70 ORLAND	PHOENIX	72	\$175,257
DIXMOOR 62 \$196,359.67 POSEN 61 \$128,018.35 MOUNT PROSPECT 57 \$168,554.37 FORD HEIGHTS 56 \$182,162 HARWOOD HEIGHTS 53 \$105,950.95 BURBANK 52 \$199,318.66 MIDLOTHIAN 50 \$119,738.00 NORTH RIVERSIDE 42 \$91,992.69 WHEELING 41 \$242,455.09 OAK FOREST 39 \$115,559.72 ALSIP 34 \$114,267.46 TINLEY PARK 33 \$86,319.53 LYONS 32 \$148,329.54 RIVER FOREST 32 \$71,283.20 SOUTH CHICAGO HEIGHTS 25 \$73,457.57 LA GRANGE 24 \$56,679.34 STEGER 22 \$39,074.64 STICKNEY 22 \$44,204.05 SUMMIT ARGO 22 \$40,497.52 ARLINGTON HEIGHTS 19 \$53,193.70 ORLAND PARK 19 \$54,783.60 CHICAGO HEI	BURNHAM	68	\$186,806.38
POSEN 61 \$128,018.35 MOUNT PROSPECT 57 \$168,554.37 FORD HEIGHTS 56 \$182,162 HARWOOD HEIGHTS 53 \$105,950.95 BURBANK 52 \$199,318.66 MIDLOTHIAN 50 \$119,738.00 NORTH RIVERSIDE 42 \$91,992.69 WHEELING 41 \$242,455.09 OAK FOREST 39 \$115,559.72 ALSIP 34 \$114,267.46 TINLEY PARK 33 \$86,319.53 LYONS 32 \$148,329.54 RIVER FOREST 32 \$71,283.20 SOUTH CHICAGO HEIGHTS 25 \$73,457.57 LA GRANGE 24 \$56,679.34 STEGER 22 \$39,074.64 STICKNEY 22 \$44,204.05 SUMMIT ARGO 22 \$40,497.52 ARLINGTON HEIGHTS 19 \$53,193.70 ORLAND PARK 19 \$54,783.60 CRESTWOOD 17 \$46,692.07 NORTHBROOK	LA GRANGE PARK	66	\$159,767.55
MOUNT PROSPECT 57 \$168,554.37 FORD HEIGHTS 56 \$182,162 HARWOOD HEIGHTS 53 \$105,950.95 BURBANK 52 \$199,318.66 MIDLOTHIAN 50 \$119,738.00 NORTH RIVERSIDE 42 \$91,992.69 WHEELING 41 \$242,455.09 OAK FOREST 39 \$115,559.72 ALSIP 34 \$114,267.46 TINLEY PARK 33 \$86,319.53 LYONS 32 \$148,329.54 RIVER FOREST 32 \$71,283.20 SOUTH CHICAGO HEIGHTS 25 \$73,457.57 LA GRANGE 24 \$56,679.34 STEGER 22 \$39,074.64 STICKNEY 22 \$44,204.05 SUMMIT ARGO 22 \$40,497.52 ARLINGTON HEIGHTS 19 \$39,418.33 CHICAGO HTS 19 \$53,193.70 ORLAND PARK 19 \$54,783.60 CRESTWOOD 17 \$46,692.07 NORTH	DIXMOOR	62	\$196,359.67
FORD HEIGHTS 56 \$182,162 HARWOOD HEIGHTS 53 \$105,950.95 BURBANK 52 \$199,318.66 MIDLOTHIAN 50 \$119,738.00 NORTH RIVERSIDE 42 \$91,992.69 WHEELING 41 \$242,455.09 OAK FOREST 39 \$115,559.72 ALSIP 34 \$114,267.46 TINLEY PARK 33 \$86,319.53 LYONS 32 \$148,329.54 RIVER FOREST 32 \$71,283.20 SOUTH CHICAGO HEIGHTS 25 \$73,457.57 LA GRANGE 24 \$56,679.34 STEGER 22 \$39,074.64 STICKNEY 22 \$44,204.05 SUMMIT ARGO 22 \$40,497.52 ARLINGTON HEIGHTS 19 \$39,418.33 CHICAGO HTS 19 \$53,193.70 ORLAND PARK 19 \$54,783.60 CRESTWOOD 17 \$46,692.07 NORTHBROOK 17 \$50,897.66 SCHAUMBURG 16 \$46,950.10 THORNTON 16 \$45,116.38 BRIDGEVIEW 14 \$68,100.46 PALATINE 14 \$35,291.04 SUMMIT 14 \$36,606.61 HANOVER PARK 11 \$39,503.92 WESTERN SPRINGS 10 \$28,379.96 CHICAGO RIDGE 8 \$39,315.88	POSEN	61	\$128,018.35
FORD HEIGHTS 56 \$182,162 HARWOOD HEIGHTS 53 \$105,950.95 BURBANK 52 \$199,318.66 MIDLOTHIAN 50 \$119,738.00 NORTH RIVERSIDE 42 \$91,992.69 WHEELING 41 \$242,455.09 OAK FOREST 39 \$115,559.72 ALSIP 34 \$114,267.46 TINLEY PARK 33 \$86,319.53 LYONS 32 \$148,329.54 RIVER FOREST 32 \$71,283.20 SOUTH CHICAGO HEIGHTS 25 \$73,457.57 LA GRANGE 24 \$56,679.34 STEGER 22 \$39,074.64 STICKNEY 22 \$44,204.05 SUMMIT ARGO 22 \$40,497.52 ARLINGTON HEIGHTS 19 \$39,418.33 CHICAGO HTS 19 \$53,193.70 ORLAND PARK 19 \$54,783.60 CRESTWOOD 17 \$46,692.07 NORTHBROOK 17 \$50,897.66 SCHAUMBURG 16 \$46,950.10 THORNTON 16 \$45,116.38 BRIDGEVIEW 14 \$68,100.46 PALATINE 14 \$35,291.04 SUMMIT 14 \$36,606.61 HANOVER PARK 11 \$39,503.92 WESTERN SPRINGS 10 \$28,379.96 CHICAGO RIDGE 8 \$39,315.88	MOUNT PROSPECT	57	
HARWOOD HEIGHTS 53 \$105,950.95 BURBANK 52 \$199,318.66 MIDLOTHIAN 50 \$119,738.00 NORTH RIVERSIDE 42 \$91,992.69 WHEELING 41 \$242,455.09 OAK FOREST 39 \$115,559.72 ALSIP 34 \$114,267.46 TINLEY PARK 33 \$86,319.53 LYONS 32 \$148,329.54 RIVER FOREST 32 \$71,283.20 SOUTH CHICAGO HEIGHTS 25 \$73,457.57 LA GRANGE 24 \$56,679.34 STEGER 22 \$39,074.64 STICKNEY 22 \$44,204.05 SUMMIT ARGO 22 \$40,497.52 ARLINGTON HEIGHTS 19 \$39,418.33 CHICAGO HTS 19 \$53,193.70 ORLAND PARK 19 \$54,783.60 CRESTWOOD 17 \$46,692.07 NORTHBROOK 17 \$50,897.66 SCHAUMBURG 16 \$46,950.10 THORNTON 16 \$45,116.38 BRIDGEVIEW 14 \$68,100.46 PALATINE 14 \$35,291.04 SUMMIT 14 \$36,606.61 HANOVER PARK 11 \$39,503.92 WESTERN SPRINGS 10 \$28,379.96 CHICAGO RIDGE 8 \$39,315.88	FORD HEIGHTS	56	\$182,162
BURBANK 52 \$199,318.66 MIDLOTHIAN 50 \$119,738.00 NORTH RIVERSIDE 42 \$91,992.69 WHEELING 41 \$242,455.09 OAK FOREST 39 \$115,559.72 ALSIP 34 \$114,267.46 TINLEY PARK 33 \$86,319.53 LYONS 32 \$148,329.54 RIVER FOREST 32 \$71,283.20 SOUTH CHICAGO HEIGHTS 25 \$73,457.57 LA GRANGE 24 \$56,679.34 STEGER 22 \$39,074.64 STICKNEY 22 \$44,204.05 SUMMIT ARGO 22 \$44,204.05 SUMMIT ARGO 22 \$44,397.52 ARLINGTON HEIGHTS 19 \$53,193.70 ORLAND PARK 19 \$54,783.60 CRESTWOOD 17 \$46,692.07 NORTHBROOK 17 \$50,897.66 SCHAUMBURG 16 \$46,950.10 THORNTON 16 \$45,116.38 BRIDGEVIEW	HARWOOD HEIGHTS	53	
MIDLOTHIAN 50 \$119,738.00 NORTH RIVERSIDE 42 \$91,992.69 WHEELING 41 \$242,455.09 OAK FOREST 39 \$115,559.72 ALSIP 34 \$114,267.46 TINLEY PARK 33 \$86,319.53 LYONS 32 \$148,329.54 RIVER FOREST 32 \$71,283.20 SOUTH CHICAGO HEIGHTS 25 \$73,457.57 LA GRANGE 24 \$56,679.34 STEGER 22 \$39,074.64 STICKNEY 22 \$44,204.05 SUMMIT ARGO 22 \$44,947.52 ARLINGTON HEIGHTS 19 \$39,418.33 CHICAGO HTS 19 \$53,193.70 ORLAND PARK 19 \$54,783.60 CRESTWOOD 17 \$46,692.07 NORTHBROOK 17 \$50,897.66 SCHAUMBURG 16 \$46,950.10 THORNTON 16 \$45,116.38 BRIDGEVIEW 14 \$68,100.46 PALATINE	BURBANK	52	
NORTH RIVERSIDE 42 \$91,992.69 WHEELING 41 \$242,455.09 OAK FOREST 39 \$115,559.72 ALSIP 34 \$114,267.46 TINLEY PARK 33 \$86,319.53 LYONS 32 \$148,329.54 RIVER FOREST 32 \$71,283.20 SOUTH CHICAGO HEIGHTS 25 \$73,457.57 LA GRANGE 24 \$56,679.34 STEGER 22 \$39,074.64 STICKNEY 22 \$44,204.05 SUMMIT ARGO 22 \$40,497.52 ARLINGTON HEIGHTS 19 \$53,193.70 ORLAND PARK 19 \$53,193.70 ORLAND PARK 19 \$54,783.60 CRESTWOOD 17 \$46,692.07 NORTHBROOK 17 \$50,897.66 SCHAUMBURG 16 \$45,116.38 BRIDGEVIEW 14 \$68,100.46 PALATINE 14 \$35,291.04 SUMMIT 14 \$36,606.61 HANOVER PARK			
WHEELING 41 \$242,455.09 OAK FOREST 39 \$115,559.72 ALSIP 34 \$114,267.46 TINLEY PARK 33 \$86,319.53 LYONS 32 \$148,329.54 RIVER FOREST 32 \$71,283.20 SOUTH CHICAGO HEIGHTS 25 \$73,457.57 LA GRANGE 24 \$56,679.34 STEGER 22 \$39,074.64 STICKNEY 22 \$44,204.05 SUMMIT ARGO 22 \$40,497.52 ARLINGTON HEIGHTS 19 \$39,418.33 CHICAGO HTS 19 \$53,193.70 ORLAND PARK 19 \$54,783.60 CRESTWOOD 17 \$46,692.07 NORTHBROOK 17 \$50,897.66 SCHAUMBURG 16 \$46,950.10 THORNTON 16 \$45,116.38 BRIDGEVIEW 14 \$36,606.61 HANOVER PARK 11 \$39,503.92 WESTERN SPRINGS 10 \$28,379.96 CHICAGO RIDGE </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			
OAK FOREST 39 \$115,559.72 ALSIP 34 \$114,267.46 TINLEY PARK 33 \$86,319.53 LYONS 32 \$148,329.54 RIVER FOREST 32 \$71,283.20 SOUTH CHICAGO HEIGHTS 25 \$73,457.57 LA GRANGE 24 \$56,679.34 STEGER 22 \$39,074.64 STICKNEY 22 \$44,204.05 SUMMIT ARGO 22 \$40,497.52 ARLINGTON HEIGHTS 19 \$39,418.33 CHICAGO HTS 19 \$53,193.70 ORLAND PARK 19 \$54,783.60 CRESTWOOD 17 \$46,692.07 NORTHBROOK 17 \$50,897.66 SCHAUMBURG 16 \$45,116.38 BRIDGEVIEW 14 \$68,100.46 PALATINE 14 \$35,291.04 SUMMIT 14 \$36,606.61 HANOVER PARK 11 \$39,503.92 WESTERN SPRINGS 10 \$28,379.96 CHICAGO RIDGE 8 \$39,315.88			
ALSIP TINLEY PARK 33 \$86,319.53 LYONS 32 \$148,329.54 RIVER FOREST 32 \$71,283.20 SOUTH CHICAGO HEIGHTS 25 \$73,457.57 LA GRANGE 24 \$56,679.34 STEGER 22 \$39,074.64 STICKNEY 22 \$44,204.05 SUMMIT ARGO 22 \$40,497.52 ARLINGTON HEIGHTS 19 \$39,418.33 CHICAGO HTS 19 \$53,193.70 ORLAND PARK 19 \$54,783.60 CRESTWOOD 17 \$46,692.07 NORTHBROOK 17 \$50,897.66 SCHAUMBURG 16 \$46,950.10 THORNTON 16 \$45,116.38 BRIDGEVIEW 14 \$68,100.46 PALATINE 14 \$35,291.04 SUMMIT 14 \$36,606.61 HANOVER PARK 11 \$39,503.92 CHICAGO RIDGE 8 \$39,315.88			
TINLEY PARK 33 \$86,319.53 LYONS 32 \$148,329.54 RIVER FOREST 32 \$71,283.20 SOUTH CHICAGO HEIGHTS 25 \$73,457.57 LA GRANGE 24 \$56,679.34 STEGER 22 \$39,074.64 STICKNEY 22 \$44,204.05 SUMMIT ARGO 22 \$40,497.52 ARLINGTON HEIGHTS 19 \$39,418.33 CHICAGO HTS 19 \$53,193.70 ORLAND PARK 19 \$54,783.60 CRESTWOOD 17 \$46,692.07 NORTHBROOK 17 \$50,897.66 SCHAUMBURG 16 \$45,116.38 BRIDGEVIEW 14 \$68,100.46 PALATINE 14 \$35,291.04 SUMMIT 14 \$36,606.61 HANOVER PARK 11 \$39,503.92 WESTERN SPRINGS 10 \$28,379.96 CHICAGO RIDGE 8 \$39,315.88			
LYONS 32 \$148,329.54 RIVER FOREST 32 \$71,283.20 SOUTH CHICAGO HEIGHTS 25 \$73,457.57 LA GRANGE 24 \$56,679.34 STEGER 22 \$39,074.64 STICKNEY 22 \$44,204.05 SUMMIT ARGO 22 \$40,497.52 ARLINGTON HEIGHTS 19 \$39,418.33 CHICAGO HTS 19 \$53,193.70 ORLAND PARK 19 \$54,783.60 CRESTWOOD 17 \$46,692.07 NORTHBROOK 17 \$50,897.66 SCHAUMBURG 16 \$46,950.10 THORNTON 16 \$45,116.38 BRIDGEVIEW 14 \$68,100.46 PALATINE 14 \$35,291.04 SUMMIT 14 \$39,503.92 WESTERN SPRINGS 10 \$28,379.96 CHICAGO RIDGE 8 \$39,315.88			
RIVER FOREST 32 \$71,283.20 SOUTH CHICAGO HEIGHTS 25 \$73,457.57 LA GRANGE 24 \$56,679.34 STEGER 22 \$39,074.64 STICKNEY 22 \$44,204.05 SUMMIT ARGO 22 \$40,497.52 ARLINGTON HEIGHTS 19 \$39,418.33 CHICAGO HTS 19 \$53,193.70 ORLAND PARK 19 \$54,783.60 CRESTWOOD 17 \$46,692.07 NORTHBROOK 17 \$50,897.66 SCHAUMBURG 16 \$45,116.38 BRIDGEVIEW 14 \$68,100.46 PALATINE 14 \$35,291.04 SUMMIT 14 \$36,606.61 HANOVER PARK 11 \$39,503.92 WESTERN SPRINGS 10 \$28,379.96 CHICAGO RIDGE 8 \$39,315.88			
SOUTH CHICAGO HEIGHTS 25 \$73,457.57 LA GRANGE 24 \$56,679.34 STEGER 22 \$39,074.64 STICKNEY 22 \$44,204.05 SUMMIT ARGO 22 \$40,497.52 ARLINGTON HEIGHTS 19 \$39,418.33 CHICAGO HTS 19 \$53,193.70 ORLAND PARK 19 \$54,783.60 CRESTWOOD 17 \$46,692.07 NORTHBROOK 17 \$50,897.66 SCHAUMBURG 16 \$46,950.10 THORNTON 16 \$45,116.38 BRIDGEVIEW 14 \$68,100.46 PALATINE 14 \$35,291.04 SUMMIT 14 \$36,606.61 HANOVER PARK 11 \$39,503.92 WESTERN SPRINGS 10 \$28,379.96 CHICAGO RIDGE 8 \$39,315.88			
LA GRANGE 24 \$56,679.34 STEGER 22 \$39,074.64 STICKNEY 22 \$44,204.05 SUMMIT ARGO 22 \$40,497.52 ARLINGTON HEIGHTS 19 \$39,418.33 CHICAGO HTS 19 \$53,193.70 ORLAND PARK 19 \$54,783.60 CRESTWOOD 17 \$46,692.07 NORTHBROOK 17 \$50,897.66 SCHAUMBURG 16 \$46,950.10 THORNTON 16 \$45,116.38 BRIDGEVIEW 14 \$68,100.46 PALATINE 14 \$35,291.04 SUMMIT 14 \$36,606.61 HANOVER PARK 11 \$39,503.92 WESTERN SPRINGS 10 \$28,379.96 CHICAGO RIDGE 8 \$39,315.88			
STEGER 22 \$39,074.64 STICKNEY 22 \$44,204.05 SUMMIT ARGO 22 \$40,497.52 ARLINGTON HEIGHTS 19 \$39,418.33 CHICAGO HTS 19 \$53,193.70 ORLAND PARK 19 \$54,783.60 CRESTWOOD 17 \$46,692.07 NORTHBROOK 17 \$50,897.66 SCHAUMBURG 16 \$46,950.10 THORNTON 16 \$45,116.38 BRIDGEVIEW 14 \$68,100.46 PALATINE 14 \$35,291.04 SUMMIT 14 \$36,606.61 HANOVER PARK 11 \$39,503.92 WESTERN SPRINGS 10 \$28,379.96 CHICAGO RIDGE 8 \$39,315.88			
STICKNEY 22 \$44,204.05 SUMMIT ARGO 22 \$40,497.52 ARLINGTON HEIGHTS 19 \$39,418.33 CHICAGO HTS 19 \$53,193.70 ORLAND PARK 19 \$54,783.60 CRESTWOOD 17 \$46,692.07 NORTHBROOK 17 \$50,897.66 SCHAUMBURG 16 \$45,116.38 BRIDGEVIEW 14 \$68,100.46 PALATINE 14 \$35,291.04 SUMMIT 14 \$36,606.61 HANOVER PARK 11 \$39,503.92 WESTERN SPRINGS 10 \$28,379.96 CHICAGO RIDGE 8 \$39,315.88			
SUMMIT ARGO 22 \$40,497.52 ARLINGTON HEIGHTS 19 \$39,418.33 CHICAGO HTS 19 \$53,193.70 ORLAND PARK 19 \$54,783.60 CRESTWOOD 17 \$46,692.07 NORTHBROOK 17 \$50,897.66 SCHAUMBURG 16 \$46,950.10 THORNTON 16 \$45,116.38 BRIDGEVIEW 14 \$68,100.46 PALATINE 14 \$35,291.04 SUMMIT 14 \$36,606.61 HANOVER PARK 11 \$39,503.92 WESTERN SPRINGS 10 \$28,379.96 CHICAGO RIDGE 8 \$39,315.88			·
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS 19 \$39,418.33 CHICAGO HTS 19 \$53,193.70 ORLAND PARK 19 \$54,783.60 CRESTWOOD 17 \$46,692.07 NORTHBROOK 17 \$50,897.66 SCHAUMBURG 16 \$46,950.10 THORNTON 16 \$45,116.38 BRIDGEVIEW 14 \$68,100.46 PALATINE 14 \$35,291.04 SUMMIT 14 \$36,606.61 HANOVER PARK 11 \$39,503.92 WESTERN SPRINGS 10 \$28,379.96 CHICAGO RIDGE 8 \$39,315.88			
CHICAGO HTS 19 \$53,193.70 ORLAND PARK 19 \$54,783.60 CRESTWOOD 17 \$46,692.07 NORTHBROOK 17 \$50,897.66 SCHAUMBURG 16 \$46,950.10 THORNTON 16 \$45,116.38 BRIDGEVIEW 14 \$68,100.46 PALATINE 14 \$35,291.04 SUMMIT 14 \$36,606.61 HANOVER PARK 11 \$39,503.92 WESTERN SPRINGS 10 \$28,379.96 CHICAGO RIDGE 8 \$39,315.88			
ORLAND PARK 19 \$54,783.60 CRESTWOOD 17 \$46,692.07 NORTHBROOK 17 \$50,897.66 SCHAUMBURG 16 \$46,950.10 THORNTON 16 \$45,116.38 BRIDGEVIEW 14 \$68,100.46 PALATINE 14 \$35,291.04 SUMMIT 14 \$36,606.61 HANOVER PARK 11 \$39,503.92 WESTERN SPRINGS 10 \$28,379.96 CHICAGO RIDGE 8 \$39,315.88			
CRESTWOOD 17 \$46,692.07 NORTHBROOK 17 \$50,897.66 SCHAUMBURG 16 \$46,950.10 THORNTON 16 \$45,116.38 BRIDGEVIEW 14 \$68,100.46 PALATINE 14 \$35,291.04 SUMMIT 14 \$36,606.61 HANOVER PARK 11 \$39,503.92 WESTERN SPRINGS 10 \$28,379.96 CHICAGO RIDGE 8 \$39,315.88			
NORTHBROOK 17 \$50,897.66 SCHAUMBURG 16 \$46,950.10 THORNTON 16 \$45,116.38 BRIDGEVIEW 14 \$68,100.46 PALATINE 14 \$35,291.04 SUMMIT 14 \$36,606.61 HANOVER PARK 11 \$39,503.92 WESTERN SPRINGS 10 \$28,379.96 CHICAGO RIDGE 8 \$39,315.88			
SCHAUMBURG 16 \$46,950.10 THORNTON 16 \$45,116.38 BRIDGEVIEW 14 \$68,100.46 PALATINE 14 \$35,291.04 SUMMIT 14 \$36,606.61 HANOVER PARK 11 \$39,503.92 WESTERN SPRINGS 10 \$28,379.96 CHICAGO RIDGE 8 \$39,315.88			
THORNTON 16 \$45,116.38 BRIDGEVIEW 14 \$68,100.46 PALATINE 14 \$35,291.04 SUMMIT 14 \$36,606.61 HANOVER PARK 11 \$39,503.92 WESTERN SPRINGS 10 \$28,379.96 CHICAGO RIDGE 8 \$39,315.88			
BRIDGEVIEW 14 \$68,100.46 PALATINE 14 \$35,291.04 SUMMIT 14 \$36,606.61 HANOVER PARK 11 \$39,503.92 WESTERN SPRINGS 10 \$28,379.96 CHICAGO RIDGE 8 \$39,315.88			
PALATINE 14 \$35,291.04 SUMMIT 14 \$36,606.61 HANOVER PARK 11 \$39,503.92 WESTERN SPRINGS 10 \$28,379.96 CHICAGO RIDGE 8 \$39,315.88			
SUMMIT 14 \$36,606.61 HANOVER PARK 11 \$39,503.92 WESTERN SPRINGS 10 \$28,379.96 CHICAGO RIDGE 8 \$39,315.88			
HANOVER PARK 11 \$39,503.92 WESTERN SPRINGS 10 \$28,379.96 CHICAGO RIDGE 8 \$39,315.88			
WESTERN SPRINGS 10 \$28,379.96 CHICAGO RIDGE 8 \$39,315.88		14	
CHICAGO RIDGE 8 \$39,315.88	HANOVER PARK	11	\$39,503.92
	WESTERN SPRINGS	10	\$28,379.96
COUNTRYSIDE 8 \$20,818.17	CHICAGO RIDGE	8	\$39,315.88
	COUNTRYSIDE	8	\$20,818.17

8	\$17,690.06
7	\$16,673.25
7	\$13,246.11
7	\$20,296.64
6	\$10,771.58
6	\$26,311.35
6	\$55,566.27
5	\$21,146.74
5	\$11,580.40
5	\$9,331.28
5	\$5,600.11
5	\$36,078.07
5	\$14,223.62
	7 7 7 6 6 6 6 5 5 5 5

Stormwater Management Phase II - Conceptual Projects

Problem Area ID	Location	Description	Suggested Solution
Cicero 1	36th St. and 61st Ave.	Intersection floods, building located at the southeast corner experiences first floor flooding	Underground detention facility, either under public right-of-way or in nearby Kolar Park
Crestwood 1 and 2	135th St./Central Ave. and Midlothian Turnpike/Cicero Ave.	Residential and roadway flooding	Construct drainage improvements along existing berm to reduce residential flooding and provide detention to address roadway flooding.
Forest View 2	Harlem Ave under railroad tracks just South of 46th St.	Drainage system for underpass is inadequate	Install larger drainage structures to increase capacity of sewer system draining to CSSC
Glenwood 3 and 6	187th Street and Main Street at Thorn Creek	Roadway and residential flooding	Construct relief sewer in original part of Village to provide drainage outlet to Thorn Creek, raise roadway (187th St.), and construct a berm.
Lansing 1	181st Street and Stony Island Ave.	Stony Island Ditch exceeds its banks and floods Stony Island Ave., 181st Street, Brittany Lane and Crystal Lane.	Upsize outfall to Stony Island Ditch and modify existing drainage system.
Midlothian 1	Natalie Creek drainage area - 153rd and Lavergne Ave. to 146th and Pulaski Rd.	Undersized storm sewers and culverts, lack of detention.	Upsize sewers and culverts and provide additional detention
Northbrook 2 and 5	Shermer Road and Cherry Lane	Residential and roadway flooding	Provide overflow sewer, and construct additional inlets and new storm sewer outlet to West Fork of North Branch Chicago River
Oak Lawn 2 and 4	North and south of 103rd Street, from Central Ave. to Cicero Ave.	Inadequate storage for runoff	Expansion of existing detention pond (Oakdale Pond) and construction of underground storage pipe or box culvert in 103rd Street (to be reconstructed)
Palos Heights 4	Cypress Dr., north of 131st St.	Undersized storm sewer can't handle drainage	Storm sewer improvements
Palos Hills 1	8900 W. 103rd St. to 10450 W. 88th Ave.	Streambank erosion and street flooding	Bank stabilization and channel improvements.
Robbins 2	138th St. East and West of Kedzie Ave.	Overbank Flooding	Channel improvements and/or additional storage
Westchester 1	Mayfair Reservoir	Flooding of local streets and homes to the east, west and south of the existing reservoir	Expansion of reservoir (excavation and berm)
Worth 2	Worth Woods Subdivision/ 112th Place and Beloit Ave.	Inadequate storm sewer system	New storm sewer and outfall to Stony Creek
Cook County Department of Transportation and Highways 2 (Bridgeview and Justice)	Roberts Road, 87th Street to Archer Avenue	Lack of an adequate stormwater conveyance system located along Roberts Road. Flooding occurs along Vollmer Road and impacts residential property.	Storm sewer improvements

Stormwater Management Phase II - Conceptual Projects

Problem Area ID	Location	Description	Suggested Solution
Cook County Department of Transportation and Highways 3 (Matteson)	Vollmer Road at Red Barn Avenue, east of Ridgeland Avenue	Existing detention facility is undersized and has improper overflow system discharging into County right-of-way	Detention pond modifications, additional inlets on Red Barn Avenue and berming and other grading activities to contain and redirect the overflow
Cook County Department of Transportation and Highways 4 (Countryside, LaGrange, McCook, Lyons Township)	Plainfield Road, from Willow Springs Road to East Avenue	Local flooding occurs along sections of this route due to the lack of an adequate storm sewer outlet system	Storm sewer improvements (would involve cooperation with local municipalities, Illinois Department of Transportation, CCDTH, and the quarry owners).
Cook County Department of Transportation and Highways 5 (Prospect Heights)	Willow Road at McDonald Creek Tributary A (Hillcrest Lake) south of Palatine Road	Roadway flooding at the crossing of McDonald Creek	Roadway raising with installation of multiple culverts
Cook County Department of Transportation and Highways 6 (Orland Park)	108th Avenue at 161st Street	Roadway flooding at entrance to school due to various issues associated with insufficient stormwater conveyance	Modification to existing detention pond, reestablishment of adequate overland flow drainage pathway, and raising roadway and school driveway entrance
Illinois Department of Transportation 10 (Chicago)	IL-43 from IL-19 to Forest Preserve Dr.	Underground storm sewers along Harlem Avenue (IL-43) that are nonfunctioning	Storm sewer replacement, oversized for detention.
Illinois Department of Transportation 11 (Rolling Meadows)	IL-53 at IL-62 Interchange	Improper grading, lack of a ditch, and an undersized storm sewer at the northbound IL 53 ramp with II 62	Excavate detention in ramp infield and add new storm sewers, replace old sewers along IL-62
Illinois Department of Transportation 12 (Melrose Park)	IL-64 West of 25th Ave to 20th Ave, PS #12	Roadway flooding on North Ave. (IL 64)	Supplement existing PS outlet sewer with new storm sewer along IL-64 to Silver Creek
Illinois Department of Transportation 15 (Maywood, Broadview)	IL-171 (1st Ave.) South of IL-38 (Roosevelt Rd.) to Cermak Rd.	Flooding along 1st Ave. at Loyola University Medical Center	Install relief storm sewer and drainage structures
Illinois Department of Transportation 17 (Northfield Township, Glenview)	Central Road from IL-21 (Milwaukee Ave) to Dearlove	Large (over 400 acre) offsite drainage area overwhelms existing storm sewers	Install new storm sewers, drainage structures, and stormwater detention

Stormwater Management Phase II - Projects Under Design

Project ID	Location	Description	Problem Addressed	No. of Structures Benefitted	Estimated Cost	Project Cost/ Benefitting Structure	Design Phase
Blue Island 1	Multiple locations throughout city	Green Infrastructure improvements in Right-of-Way.	Flooding of depressional area, and overloaded (combined) sewers.	400	\$1,458,558	\$3,646	Preliminary
Des Plaines 12	Fargo Ave. between Cedar Street and River Road	36" to 60" relief storm sewer along Fargo, Jarvis and River Rd. to Des Plaines River	Existing storm sewer does not have sufficient capacity to convey the design storm. Fargo Avenue floods at low points.	56	\$2,000,000	\$35,714	Complete, Shovel Ready
Evanston 1	Civic Center Parking Lot	Intercept runoff from the existing conventionally paved parking areas using porous pavement, bioswales and rain gardens	Existing combined sewers have severe capacity limitations due to their size, resulting in sewer surcharging during intense storms causing backup of sewage into basements and street flooding.	102	\$756,190	\$7,414	Preliminary
Glenview 1	Entire corporate area of Glenview East of Harms Road	3 backflow preventers at existing storm sewer outfalls, 2 new lift stations, new conveyance storm sewers and detention	Middle Fork of North Branch Chicago River backs up into storm sewers and into neighborhoods.	1,150	\$5,950,000	\$5,174	Nearly Complete
Hoffman Estates 1	Jones Road at Heather Lane	48" Diameter Storm Sewer	Existing detention pond overtops 2-3 times/year, street flooding blocks collector streets and emergency vehicle route, routine first floor flooding.	6	\$1,400,000	\$233,333	Complete (need to be updated)
Kenilworth 2	East side of the Village of Kenilworth	Green Infrastructure components of sewer separation project.	During intense rain events, the combined sewers reach their capacity and water containing raw sewage backs up into basements and onto Village streets.	103	\$993,505	\$9,646	Nearly Complete
Lemont 1	IMTT Culvert at I&M Canal and Chicago Sanitary & Ship Canal	Replace Twin Culverts	Existing culverts undersized, industrial area floods in heavy rains	2	\$425,000	\$212,500	Complete
Niles 1	Cleveland Street	New relief sewer and outfall to the North Branch Chicago River	Existing drainage system overloaded.	140	\$6,700,000	\$47,857	Preliminary Engineering Complete
Orland Park 6	Creekside Drive	Offsite tributary flows overload existing undersized storm sewer system. Flooding is affecting basements, streets, and yards.	Construction of a storage basin upstream of the subdivision to collect, store and slowly release stormwater at a controlled, substanitally reduced rate. Upsize storm sewer pipe to detention basins, with new outlet at Long Run Creek.	6	\$100,000	\$16,667	Complete

Stormwater Management Phase II - Projects Under Design

Project ID	Location	Description	Problem Addressed	No. of Structures Benefitted	Estimated Cost	Project Cost/ Benefitting Structure	Design Phase
Willow Springs 1	west, Archer Avenue	Upsize storm sewers, provide overland flow route, and replace existing culvert	Structure, yard and alley, flooding; existing culvert failing.	20	\$76,595	\$3,830	Nearly Complete
Winnetka 4	Multiple locations in northeast Winnetka	Capacity improvemments to storm sewers tributary to an existing storm sewer with excess capacity for 100-year protection.	First floor and basement flooding at 2- to 100-yr event within 110 acre area.	27	\$4,300,000	\$159,259	Complete, Shovel Ready
Bremen Township 1	143rd & Linder Ave	Replace existing culvert, headwalls, roadway restoration (Cal-Sag Trib C under Linder Ave)	The creek channel and undersized culvert do not have adequate capacity to convey the runoff from the tributary drainage area. The creek overtops the roadway and has caused erosion and damage to the roadway.	2	\$80,000	\$40,000	Preliminary

Total Project Cost >> \$24,239,848

ESTIMATE OF ELIGIBLE PUBLIC ASSISTANCE

PDA

May 6-10, 13-17, 20-24, 2013

County of Cook County Population

5,194,675

Illinois

FEMA Estimate of Eligible Public Assistance - Cook County (excluding City of Chicago)

Applicant	Roads and Bridges	Water Control Facilities	Buildings and Equipment	Utilities	Parks and Other Facilities	TOTAL
Forest Preserve District	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$60,000	\$60,000
Chicago Botanical Garden	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$25,000	\$25,000
Brookfield Zoo	\$0	\$0	\$100,000	\$0	\$0	\$100,000
Sheriff's Police Department	\$0	\$0	\$65,000	\$0	\$0	\$65,000
Metro Water Reclamation District	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$23,454	\$0	\$23,454
Bellwood	\$16,000	\$0	\$50,000	\$0	\$0	\$66,000
Berkeley	\$4,278	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,278
Chicago Heights	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$64,843	\$0	\$64,843
Cicero	\$43,328	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,600	\$44,928
Concordia University	\$0	\$0	\$48,312	\$0	\$0	\$48,312
Des Plaines	\$140,000	\$395,000	\$0	\$100,000	\$0	\$635,000
Elk Grove Village	\$0	\$0	\$3,250	\$0	\$0	\$3,250
Evanston	\$0	\$0		\$17,000	\$0	\$17,000
Flossmoor	\$2,125	\$0	\$0	\$1,363	\$0	\$3,488
Forest View Park District			\$55,000			\$55,000
Forest View	\$108,000	\$0	\$55,000	\$0	\$0	\$163,000
Franklin Park	\$55,000	\$22,000	\$0	\$87,000	\$0	\$164,000
Glenview	\$0	\$0	\$1,760	\$17,000	\$0	\$18,760
Glenwood	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$60,630	\$0	\$60,630
Harwood Heights	\$0	\$0	\$15,630	\$0	\$0	\$15,630
Hillside	\$0	\$0	\$4,820	\$0	\$0	\$4,820
Hinsdale	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$65,000	\$0	\$65,000
Hoffman Estates	\$3,213	\$0	\$0	\$2,200	\$0	\$5,413
LaGrange Park	\$0	\$1,800	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,800
Leyden High School District 212	\$0	\$0	\$1,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,000
Lyons	\$29,500	\$0	\$1,282	\$0	\$0	\$30,782
Maywood	\$0	\$0	\$74,100	\$0	\$0	\$74,100
McCook	\$2,895	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,895
Melrose Park	\$0	\$0	\$2,500	\$0	\$0	\$2,500
Morton Grove	\$0	\$0	\$6,500	\$0	\$0	\$6,500
Northlake	\$0	\$0	\$24,517	\$0	\$0	\$24,517
Oak Forest	\$0	\$3,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,000
Oakton Community College	\$10,000	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$10,000
Park Ridge	\$0	\$0	\$2,700	\$37,000	\$0	\$39,700
Proviso Township School District	\$0	\$0	\$2,500	\$0	\$0	\$2,500
River Grove	\$0	\$0	\$8,500	\$0		\$8,500
Riverside Village	\$5,000	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$55,000
Riverside Township	\$2,000	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$2,000
Schiller Park	\$0	\$10,000	\$0	\$0		\$10,000
Stone Park	\$0	\$0	\$64,000	\$0		\$64,000
Westchester	\$0	\$0	\$29,100	\$0		\$29,100
Wheeling Park District	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$6,432
Wilmette	\$32,900	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$32,900
Wilmette Park District	\$0	\$0	\$1,000	\$0		\$1,000
Winnetka	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$7,000	\$0	\$7,000
Totals	\$454,239	\$431,800	\$616,471	\$482,490	\$143,032	\$2,128,032